On the product formula and convolution associated with the index Whittaker transform

Rúben Sousa^{a,*}, Manuel Guerra^b, Semyon Yakubovich^a

 ^aCMUP, Departamento de Matemática, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, Rua do Campo Alegre 687, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal
 ^bCEMAPRE and ISEG (School of Economics and Management), Universidade de Lisboa, Rua do Quelhas, 1200-781 Lisbon, Portugal

November 9, 2018

Abstract

We deduce a product formula for the Whittaker W function whose kernel does not depend on the second parameter. Making use of this formula, we define the positivity-preserving convolution operator associated with the index Whittaker transform, which is seen to be a direct generalization of the Kontorovich-Lebedev convolution. The mapping properties of this convolution operator are investigated; in particular, a Banach algebra property is established and then applied to yield an analogue of the Wiener-Lévy theorem for the index Whittaker transform. We show how our results can be used to prove the existence of a unique solution for a class of convolution-type integral equations.

Keywords: Product formula, Whittaker function, Index Whittaker transform, Generalized convolution, Wiener-Lévy theorem, Convolution integral equations

1. Introduction

Let $\{\chi_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda}\in\Lambda}$ be a family of continuous functions on an interval $I\subset\mathbb{R}$, where Λ is some indexing set. A functional identity of the form

$$\chi_{\lambda}(x)\,\chi_{\lambda}(y) = \int_{I} \chi_{\lambda}(\xi)\,\mathcal{K}(x,y,\xi)\,d\xi,$$

where the kernel $\mathcal{K}(x, y, \xi)$ does not depend on λ , is called a *product formula* or *multiplication formula* for $\{\chi_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$.

Such product formulas are a very useful tool in the theory of special functions. For instance, the existence of product formulas with positive kernels for certain systems of, say, orthogonal polynomials allows us to simplify various problems concerning the positivity of special functions [9]. Moreover, and most importantly, product formulas are the key ingredient for introducing the so-called *generalized translation* and *generalized convolution* operators, whose theory was initiated by J. Delsarte [4] and B. Levitan [14], and which are deeply related with eigenfunction expansions with respect to systems of (orthogonal) special functions, much like the ordinary translation and convolution is closely connected with the Fourier transform. For discussion and examples see e.g. [1, 3].

^{*}Corresponding author

Email addresses: rubensousa@fc.up.pt (Rúben Sousa), mguerra@iseg.ulisboa.pt (Manuel Guerra), syakubov@fc.up.pt (Semyon Yakubovich)

The index Whittaker transform is the integral transform (of index type) defined by

$$(W_{\alpha}g)(\tau) := \int_0^\infty g(x)W_{\alpha,i\tau}(x)x^{-2}dx, \qquad \tau \ge 0$$
 (1)

where i is the imaginary unit, $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ is a parameter and $W_{\alpha,\nu}(x)$ is the Whittaker function (cf. Section 2). This transformation first appeared in [26] as a particular case of an integral transform having the Meijer-G function in the kernel. Its L_p theory was studied in [23]. In its general form, the index Whittaker is connected with the Asian option pricing problem in mathematical finance [15]. Furthermore, it includes as a particular case the Kontorovich-Lebedev transform, which is one of the most well-known index transforms [27, 28] and has a wide range of applications in physics (see e.g. [2, 8]).

In [22] it is observed that the index Whittaker transform is a generalized Fourier transformation, as it constitutes the eigenfunction expansion of a self-adjoint Sturm-Liouville operator. For the particular case of the Kontorovich-Lebedev transform, whose kernel is the modified Bessel function of the second kind $K_{\nu}(x)$ (also known as the Macdonald function), the product formula for $K_{\nu}(x)$ is well-known — it is given by the Macdonald formula, which can be found in standard texts on special functions such as [6] — and has been used to introduce the Kontorovich-Lebedev convolution, which was introduced by Kakichev in [13] and has been an object of much interest [11, 12, 18, 27, 29]. Given that the properties of the index Whittaker transform in the general case are similar to those of the Kontorovich-Lebedev transform, one would expect that the index Whittaker transform is also associated with a convolution with analogous properties. However, to the best of our knowledge, neither an explicit product formula for $W_{\alpha,\nu}(x)$ with kernel not depending on the transform variable ν (the key ingredient for such a convolution) is known in the literature, nor the existence of such a formula has been deduced through techniques such as those described in [3].

Our main result is to establish a product formula of the form

$$W_{\alpha,\nu}(x)W_{\alpha,\nu}(y) = \int_0^\infty W_{\alpha,\nu}(\xi) \, \mathcal{K}_\alpha(x,y,\xi) \, d\xi \qquad (\alpha,\, \nu \in \mathbb{C})$$

whose kernel $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(x,y,\xi)$ is given in closed form in terms of the parabolic cylinder function. For fixed x and y, the kernel $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(x,y,\cdot)$ has full support in $(0,\infty)$, making it clear that the partial differential equation approach that has been used to prove many product formulas for Sturm-Liouville eigenfunctions would not applicable here (cf. [10]). In the case $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ the kernel turns out to be strictly positive, and this allows us to construct a positivity-preserving generalized convolution operator $*_a$ which is related with the index Whittaker transform via the identity $(\Psi_a(f *_a g))(\tau) = (\Psi_a f)(\tau)(\Psi_a g)(\tau)$, where Ψ_a is a reparameterized version of the transform (1) (which will be defined in Section 4). Moreover, we show that the connection between the product formula, the index transform Ψ_a and the convolution $*_a$ yields various mapping properties for the convolution operator.

The Kontorovich-Lebedev convolution has been applied to the study of existence and uniqueness of solutions for integral equations of generalized convolution type ([27]; see also [12]). Here we extend the method to the general index Whittaker transform: we prove that an analogue of the Wiener-Lévy theorem holds for the index Whittaker transform, and we discuss its application to a class of integral equations of the second-kind; in addition, an example is provided where this method yields an explicit expression for the solution of an integral equation with the Whittaker (or the confluent hypergeometric) function in the kernel.

The structure of the paper is as follows. Section 2 sets notation and collects some basic facts about special functions which will be of use in the sequel. The product formula for the Whittaker function $W_{\alpha,\nu}(x)$ is stated and proved in Section 3. Section 4 is dedicated to the index Whittaker convolution operator: in Subsection 4.1 we start by establishing the relevant properties of the generalized translation operator associated with the product formula, which is then used in Subsection 4.2 to define the generalized convolution and to derive its main mapping properties; then Subsection 4.3 focuses on the Banach algebra property of the

convolution in a family of weighted L_1 spaces, from which the analogue of the Wiener-Lévy theorem for the index Whittaker transform is deduced. Finally, Section 5 treats the application of our results to integral equations.

2. Preliminaries

In this paper, the space of continuous functions on the half line $(0,\infty)$ will be denoted by $C(0,\infty)$, and the notations $C_b(0,\infty)$, $C_c(0,\infty)$ will stand for its subspaces consisting, respectively, of bounded functions and of compactly supported functions. As usual, $L_p(E; w(x) dx)$ denotes the weighted L_p -space with norm

$$||f||_{L_p(E;w(x)\,dx)} = \left(\int_E |f(x)|^p w(x)dx\right)^{1/p} \quad (1 \le p < \infty), \qquad ||f||_{L_\infty(E;w(x)\,dx)} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in E} |f(x)|.$$

The Whittaker function $W_{\alpha,\nu}(x)$ is the solution of Whittaker's differential equation $\frac{d^2u}{dx^2} + \left(-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\alpha}{x} + \frac{\alpha}{x$ $\frac{1/4-\nu^2}{r^2}$) $u=0 \ (\alpha,\nu\in\mathbb{C})$ which is determined uniquely by the property

$$W_{\alpha,\nu}(x) \sim x^{\alpha} e^{-\frac{x}{2}}, \qquad x \to \infty.$$
 (2)

For fixed x, the Whittaker W function is an entire function of the first and the second parameter [17, §13.14(ii)], and it admits the integral representation (cf. [19], integral 2.3.6.9)

$$W_{\alpha,\nu}(x) = \frac{e^{-\frac{x}{2}}x^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha + \nu)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-s} s^{-\frac{1}{2} - \alpha + \nu} \left(1 + \frac{s}{x}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2} + \alpha + \nu} ds \qquad (\text{Re } x > 0, \text{ Re } \alpha < \frac{1}{2} + \text{Re } \nu)$$
(3)

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Gamma function [5, Chapter I]. The Whittaker W function is an even function of the parameter ν [17, Equation 13.14.31]. For $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2} \pm \nu, \frac{3}{2} \pm \nu, \ldots$, its asymptotic behavior near the origin is, cf. [17, §13.14(iii)]

$$W_{\alpha,\nu}(x) = O(x^{\frac{1}{2} - \operatorname{Re} \nu})$$
 $(\operatorname{Re} \nu \ge 0, \nu \ne 0),$ $x \to 0.$ (4)

The Whittaker function satisfies the recurrence relation [17, Equation 13.15.13]

$$x^{\frac{1}{2}}W_{\alpha+\frac{1}{2},\nu+\frac{1}{2}}(x) = (x+2\nu)W_{\alpha,\nu}(x) + (\frac{1}{2} - \alpha - \nu)x^{\frac{1}{2}}W_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2},\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(x)$$
(5)

and it reduces to the modified Bessel function of the second kind (resp., to an elementary function) when the parameter α is equal to zero (resp., equal to $\frac{1}{2} + \nu$) [17, §13.18(i), (iii)],

$$W_{0,\nu}(2x) = \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}(2x)^{\frac{1}{2}}K_{\nu}(x) \tag{6}$$

$$W_{\frac{1}{2}+\nu,\nu}(x) = x^{\frac{1}{2}+\nu}e^{-x/2}. (7)$$

By [28, Theorem 1.11], for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ the asymptotic expansion of the Whittaker function with imaginary parameter $\nu = i\tau$ as $\tau \to \infty$ is

$$W_{\alpha,i\tau}(x) = (2x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} e^{-\pi\tau/2} \cos\left(\tau \log\left(\frac{x}{4\tau}\right) + \frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha\right) + \tau\right) \left[1 + O(\tau^{-1})\right],\tag{8}$$

the expansion being uniform in $0 < x \le M$ (M > 0).

The confluent hypergeometric function of the second kind $\Psi(a,b;x)$, also known as the Tricomi function, can be defined via the Whittaker function as

$$\Psi(a,b;x) = e^{x/2} x^{-b/2} W_{\frac{b}{2} - a, \frac{b}{2} - \frac{1}{2}}(x). \tag{9}$$

A number of properties are obtained directly from this relation, such as the identity $x^{a+\nu}\Psi(a+\nu,1+2\nu;x)=x^{a-\nu}\Psi(a-\nu,1-2\nu;x)$ or the limiting forms of $\Psi(a,b;x)$ when $x\to\infty$ and $x\to0$. We also note that the following differentiation formulas hold for $n\in\mathbb{N}$ [5, Equations 6.6(12)–(13)]:

$$\frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left[x^{c-1} \Psi(a, c; x) \right] = (-1)^n \left(a - c + 1 \right)_n x^{c-n-1} \Psi(a, c - n; x) \tag{10}$$

$$\frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left[x^{a+n-1} \Psi(a,c;x) \right] = (a)_n \left(a - c + 1 \right)_n x^{a-1} \Psi(a+n,c;x), \tag{11}$$

where $(a)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol, $(a)_0 = 1$ and $(a)_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (a+j)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The parabolic cylinder function $D_{\mu}(z)$ is the solution of the differential equation $\frac{d^2u}{dz^2} + \left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{z^2}{4}\right)u = 0$ which is given in terms of the Whittaker function by

$$D_{\mu}(z) = 2^{\frac{\mu}{2} + \frac{1}{4}} z^{-\frac{1}{2}} W_{\frac{\mu}{2} + \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{z^2}{2}\right).$$

It is an entire function of its parameter. An integral representation for this function is [17, Equation 12.5.3]

$$D_{\mu}(z) = \frac{z^{\mu} e^{-\frac{z^{2}}{4}}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(1-\mu))} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s} s^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+\mu)} \left(1 + \frac{2s}{z^{2}}\right)^{\frac{\mu}{2}} ds \qquad (\text{Re } z > 0, \text{ Re } \mu < 1).$$
 (12)

The asymptotic form of $D_{\mu}(z)$ for large z is [6, Equation 8.4(1)]

$$D_{\mu}(z) \sim z^{\mu} e^{-\frac{z^2}{4}} \qquad z \to \infty. \tag{13}$$

The recurrence relation and differentiation formula for the parabolic cylinder function are [6, Equations 8.2(14) and 8.2(16)]

$$D_{\nu+1}(z) = zD_{\nu}(z) - \nu D_{\nu-1}(z) \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{d^n}{dz^n} \left[e^{-\frac{z^2}{4}} D_{\nu}(z) \right] = (-1)^n e^{-\frac{z^2}{4}} D_{\nu+n}(z) \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$
(15)

and the parabolic cylinder function reduces to an exponential function when its parameter equals zero [6, Equation 8.2(9)],

$$D_0(z) = e^{-\frac{z^2}{4}}. (16)$$

3. The product formula for the Whittaker function

The main result of this paper, which will be proved in this section, is the following product formula for the Whittaker function of the second kind:

Theorem 3.1. The product $W_{\alpha,\nu}(x)W_{\alpha,\nu}(y)$ of two Whittaker functions of the second kind with different arguments admits the integral representation

$$W_{\alpha,\nu}(x)W_{\alpha,\nu}(y) = \int_0^\infty W_{\alpha,\nu}(\xi) k_\alpha(x,y,\xi) \frac{d\xi}{\xi^2} \qquad (x,y>0, \ \alpha,\nu \in \mathbb{C})$$
(17)

where

$$k_{\alpha}(x,y,\xi) := 2^{-1-\alpha} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} (xy\xi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{y}{2} + \frac{\xi}{2} - \frac{(xy + x\xi + y\xi)^2}{8xy\xi}\right) D_{2\alpha}\left(\frac{xy + x\xi + y\xi}{(2xy\xi)^{1/2}}\right)$$

being $D_{\mu}(z)$ the parabolic cylinder function.

We will prove this theorem through a sequence of lemmas, where we shall assume that α is a negative real number and ν is purely imaginary. In the final step of the proof, an analytic continuation argument will be used to remove this restriction.

The first lemma gives an alternative product formula which is less useful than (17) because its kernel also depends on the second parameter of the Whittaker function.

Lemma 3.2. If $\alpha \in (-\infty,0)$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, then the integral representation

$$W_{\alpha,i\tau}(x)W_{\alpha,i\tau}(p) = \frac{(xp)^{\alpha}e^{-\frac{x}{2} - \frac{p}{2}}}{|\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha + i\tau)|^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \xi^{-1-\alpha}e^{-\frac{\xi}{2}}W_{\alpha,i\tau}(\xi) \int_{0}^{\infty} w^{-2\alpha} \exp\left(-w - \left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{w}{xp}\right)w\xi\right) dw \, d\xi$$
(18)

is valid for x, p > 0.

Proof. From relation 2.21.2.17 in [21] it follows that

$$W_{\alpha,i\tau}(x)W_{\alpha,i\tau}(p) = (xp)^{\frac{1}{2}-i\tau}e^{-\frac{x}{2}-\frac{p}{2}}\Psi\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha-i\tau,1-2i\tau;x\right)\Psi\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha-i\tau,1-2i\tau;p\right)$$

$$= \frac{(xp)^{\frac{1}{2}-i\tau}e^{-\frac{x}{2}-\frac{p}{2}}}{\Gamma(1-2\alpha)}\int_{0}^{\infty}e^{-w}w^{-2\alpha}\left[(w+x)(w+p)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}+\alpha+i\tau}$$

$$\times {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha-i\tau,\frac{1}{2}-\alpha-i\tau;1-2\alpha;1-\frac{xp}{(w+x)(w+p)}\right)dw$$

$$= \frac{(xp)^{\alpha}e^{-\frac{x}{2}-\frac{p}{2}}}{\Gamma(1-2\alpha)}\int_{0}^{\infty}e^{-w}w^{-2\alpha}{}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha-i\tau,\frac{1}{2}-\alpha+i\tau;1-2\alpha;-\left(\frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{p}\right)w-\frac{w^{2}}{px}\right)dw. \tag{19}$$

Here ${}_2F_1(a,b;c;z)$ is the Gauss hypergeometric function [17, Chapter 15]; in the last step we used the transformation formula ${}_2F_1(a,b;c;z)=(1-z)^{-a}{}_2F_1\left(a,c-b;c;\frac{z}{z-1}\right)$, cf. [17, Equation 15.8.1].

Next, according to integral 2.19.3.5 in [21], the Gauss hypergeometric function in (19) admits the integral representation

$${}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha-i\tau,\frac{1}{2}-\alpha+i\tau;1-2\alpha;-\left(\frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{p}\right)w-\frac{w^{2}}{px}\right)$$

$$=\frac{\Gamma(1-2\alpha)}{|\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha+i\tau)|^{2}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\xi^{-1-\alpha}\exp\left(-\frac{\xi}{2}-\left(\frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{w}{xp}\right)w\xi\right)W_{\alpha,i\tau}(\xi)d\xi$$

and thus we have

$$W_{\alpha,i\tau}(x)W_{\alpha,i\tau}(p) = \frac{(xp)^{\alpha}e^{-\frac{x}{2} - \frac{p}{2}}}{|\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha + i\tau)|^2} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-w} w^{-2\alpha} \int_0^{\infty} \xi^{-1-\alpha} \exp\left(-\frac{\xi}{2} - \left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{w}{xp}\right)w\xi\right) W_{\alpha,i\tau}(\xi) d\xi dw.$$
(20)

Using the assumption $\operatorname{Re} \alpha < 0$ and the limiting forms (2), (4) of the Whittaker function, we see that the integrals $\int_0^\infty e^{-w} w^{-2\alpha} dw$ and $\int_0^\infty \xi^{-1-\alpha} e^{-\frac{\xi}{2}} W_{\alpha,i\tau}(\xi) d\xi$ converge absolutely. Therefore, we can use Fubini's theorem to reverse the order of integration in (20); doing so, we obtain (18).

The previous lemma gives an integral representation for $|\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha + i\tau)|^2 W_{\alpha,i\tau}(x) W_{\alpha,i\tau}(p)$ whose kernel does not depend on τ . Integral representations for $|\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha + i\tau)|^2 W_{\alpha,i\tau}(x)$ which share the same property are also known. In the next two lemmas we take advantage of these integral representations and of the uniqueness theorem for Laplace transforms in order to deduce that the product formula (17) holds when α is a negative real number and $\nu = i\tau \in i\mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 3.3. The identity

$$2^{2\alpha} x^{-\alpha} W_{\alpha,i\tau}(x) \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{s}{2y} - \frac{y}{2}} y^{\alpha - 2} W_{\alpha,i\tau}(y) dy$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \left(1 + \frac{2s}{x\xi} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\left(1 + \frac{2s}{x\xi} \right)^{1/2} + 1 \right)^{2\alpha} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{\xi}{2} \right) \left(1 + \frac{2s}{x\xi} \right)^{1/2} \right] W_{\alpha,i\tau}(\xi) \, \xi^{\alpha - 2} d\xi$$
(21)

holds for $\alpha \in (-\infty, 0)$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and x, s > 0.

Proof. Using the change of variable $s = 2w\xi(1 + \frac{w}{x})$, we rewrite (18) as

$$|\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha + i\tau)|^{2}W_{\alpha,i\tau}(x)W_{\alpha,i\tau}(p)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(xp)^{\alpha}e^{-\frac{x}{2} - \frac{p}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\xi}{2}}\xi^{\alpha - 2}W_{\alpha,i\tau}(\xi) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{s}{2p}}s^{-2\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{2s}{x\xi}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\left(1 + \frac{2s}{x\xi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1\right)^{2\alpha}$$

$$\times \exp\left[\left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{\xi}{2}\right) \left(1 - \left(1 + \frac{2s}{x\xi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right] ds \, d\xi \qquad (22)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(xp)^{\alpha}e^{-\frac{p}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{s}{2p}}s^{-2\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{2s}{x\xi}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\left(1 + \frac{2s}{x\xi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1\right)^{2\alpha}$$

$$\times \exp\left[-\left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{\xi}{2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{2s}{x\xi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] W_{\alpha,i\tau}(\xi) \, \xi^{\alpha - 2} d\xi \, ds$$

where the absolute convergence of the iterated integral (see the proof of the previous lemma) justifies the change of order of integration.

On the other hand, by relation 2.19.5.18 in [21] we have

$$|\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha + i\tau)|^{2} W_{\alpha,i\tau}(p) = 2^{2\alpha - 1} \Gamma(1 - 2\alpha) p^{\alpha} e^{-\frac{p}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2y} + \frac{1}{2p}\right)^{-1 + 2\alpha} e^{-\frac{y}{2}} y^{\alpha - 2} W_{\alpha,i\tau}(y) \, dy$$

$$= 2^{2\alpha - 1} p^{\alpha} e^{-\frac{p}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{s}{2y} - \frac{s}{2p}} s^{-2\alpha} ds \, e^{-\frac{y}{2}} y^{\alpha - 2} W_{\alpha,i\tau}(y) \, dy$$

$$= 2^{2\alpha - 1} p^{\alpha} e^{-\frac{p}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{s}{2p}} s^{-2\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{s}{2y} - \frac{y}{2}} y^{\alpha - 2} W_{\alpha,i\tau}(y) \, dy \, ds.$$

$$(23)$$

Comparing (22) and (23), and recalling the injectivity of Laplace transform, we deduce that (21) holds. \Box

Lemma 3.4. The product formula (17) holds for $\alpha < 0$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and x, y > 0.

Proof. We begin by deriving the following representation for the function of s appearing in the right-hand side of (21):

$$\left(1 + \frac{2s}{x\xi}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\left(1 + \frac{2s}{x\xi}\right)^{1/2} + 1\right)^{2\alpha} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{\xi}{2}\right)\left(1 + \frac{2s}{x\xi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(-2\alpha)} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{\xi}{2}\right)\left(1 + \frac{2s}{x\xi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left(-u\left(1 + \frac{2s}{x\xi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \gamma(-2\alpha, u) du$$

$$= \frac{(\pi x\xi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(-2\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(u + \frac{x}{2} + \frac{\xi}{2}\right) \gamma(-2\alpha, u) \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left[-\left(2s + x\xi\right) \frac{1}{4y} - \left(u + \frac{x}{2} + \frac{\xi}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{y}{x\xi}\right] dy du$$

$$= \frac{(\pi x\xi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(-2\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{s}{2y}} \exp\left(-\frac{x\xi}{4y}\right) y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(u + \frac{x}{2} + \frac{\xi}{2}\right) \exp\left(-\left(u + \frac{x}{2} + \frac{\xi}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{y}{x\xi}\right) \gamma(-2\alpha, u) du dy$$

where $\gamma(\cdot,\cdot)$ is the incomplete Gamma function [6, Chapter IX]. In the first two equalities we have used integral 8.14.1 in [17] and integral 2.3.16.3 in [19], respectively, and the positivity of the integrand allows us to change the order of integration. Substituting in (21), we find that

$$\Gamma(-2\alpha)2^{\frac{1}{2}+2\alpha}\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}x^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}W_{\alpha,i\tau}(x)\int_{0}^{\infty}e^{-\frac{s}{2y}-\frac{y}{2}}y^{\alpha-2}W_{\alpha,i\tau}(y)dy$$

$$=\int_{0}^{\infty}\xi^{-\frac{5}{2}+\alpha}W_{\alpha,i\tau}(\xi)\int_{0}^{\infty}e^{-\frac{s}{2y}}\exp\left(-\frac{x\xi}{4y}\right)y^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\times\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(u+\frac{x}{2}+\frac{\xi}{2}\right)\exp\left(-\left(u+\frac{x}{2}+\frac{\xi}{2}\right)^{2}\frac{y}{x\xi}\right)\gamma(-2\alpha,u)du\,dy\,d\xi$$

$$=\int_{0}^{\infty}e^{-\frac{s}{2y}}y^{-\frac{1}{2}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\xi^{-\frac{5}{2}+\alpha}\exp\left(-\frac{x\xi}{4y}\right)W_{\alpha,i\tau}(\xi)$$

$$\times\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(u+\frac{x}{2}+\frac{\xi}{2}\right)\exp\left(-\left(u+\frac{x}{2}+\frac{\xi}{2}\right)^{2}\frac{y}{x\xi}\right)\gamma(-2\alpha,u)du\,d\xi\,dy$$

$$(24)$$

where the order of integration can be interchanged because of the absolute convergence of the triple integral, which follows from the inequality $\gamma(-2\alpha, u) \leq \Gamma(-2\alpha)$ and the equalities

$$\begin{split} & \int_0^\infty \xi^{-\frac{5}{2} + \alpha} \big| W_{\alpha, i\tau}(\xi) \big| \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{s}{2y}} y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{x\xi}{2y}\right) \int_0^\infty \left(u + \frac{x}{2} + \frac{\xi}{2}\right) \exp\left(-\left(u + \frac{x}{2} + \frac{\xi}{2}\right)^2 \frac{y}{x\xi}\right) du \, dy \, d\xi \\ & = \frac{x}{2} \int_0^\infty \xi^{-\frac{5}{2} + \alpha} \big| W_{\alpha, i\tau}(\xi) \big| \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{s}{2y} - \frac{x\xi}{4y} - \frac{y}{2} - \frac{xy}{4\xi} - \frac{\xi y}{4x}\right) y^{-\frac{3}{2}} dy \, d\xi \\ & = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\pi x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\infty \xi^{-3 + \alpha} \left(1 + \frac{2s}{x\xi}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{\xi}{2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{2s}{x\xi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \big| W_{\alpha, i\tau}(\xi) \big| \, d\xi < \infty \end{split}$$

(which follow from integral 2.3.16.3 in [19] and straighforward calculations; the convergence of the latter integral can be verified using the limiting forms (2), (4) of the Whittaker function).

Using, as in the previous proof, the injectivity of Laplace transform, from (24) it follows that

$$W_{\alpha,i\tau}(x)W_{\alpha,i\tau}(y) = \frac{2^{-2\alpha}\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(-2\alpha)}x^{-\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}y^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha}e^{\frac{y}{2}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\xi^{-\frac{5}{2}+\alpha}\exp\left(-\frac{x\xi}{4y}\right)W_{\alpha,i\tau}(\xi) \times \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(u+\frac{x}{2}+\frac{\xi}{2}\right)\exp\left(-\left(u+\frac{x}{2}+\frac{\xi}{2}\right)^{2}\frac{y}{x\xi}\right)\gamma(-2\alpha,u)du\,d\xi.$$
(25)

Let us compute the inner integral. Since $\frac{d}{du}\gamma(-2\alpha,u)=u^{-1-2\alpha}e^{-u}$ and

$$\int \left(u + \frac{x}{2} + \frac{\xi}{2}\right) \exp\left(-\left(u + \frac{x}{2} + \frac{y}{2}\right)^2 \frac{y}{x\xi}\right) du = -\frac{x\xi}{2y} \exp\left(-\left(u + \frac{x}{2} + \frac{\xi}{2}\right)^2 \frac{y}{x\xi}\right),$$

we obtain, using integration by parts,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(u + \frac{x}{2} + \frac{\xi}{2} \right) \exp\left(-\left(u + \frac{x}{2} + \frac{\xi}{2} \right)^{2} \frac{y}{x\xi} \right) \gamma(-2\alpha, u) du$$

$$= \frac{x\xi}{2y} \int_{0}^{\infty} u^{-1-2\alpha} e^{-u} \exp\left(-\left(u + \frac{x}{2} + \frac{\xi}{2} \right)^{2} \frac{y}{x\xi} \right) du$$

$$= \Gamma(-2\alpha) \left(\frac{x\xi}{2y} \right)^{1-\alpha} \exp\left(\frac{x}{4} + \frac{\xi}{4} - \frac{y}{4} + \frac{x\xi}{8y} - \frac{xy}{8\xi} - \frac{y\xi}{8x} \right) D_{2\alpha} \left(\frac{xy + x\xi + y\xi}{(2xy\xi)^{1/2}} \right) \tag{26}$$

where we applied relation 2.3.15.3 in [19]. Substituting this in (25), we conclude that (17) holds for all $\alpha < 0$ and $\nu = i\tau \in i\mathbb{R}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. To simplify the notation, throughout the proof we write $f_{\alpha,\nu}(t) := t^{-\alpha}W_{\alpha,\nu}(t)$. We use an analytic continuation argument to extend the identity (17) to all $\alpha, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$. To that end, let us prove that the right-hand side of (17) is an entire function of each of the variables α and ν . Let M > 0 and suppose that $\frac{1}{M} \leq \frac{1}{2} - \operatorname{Re} \alpha \leq M$ and $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \nu \leq M$. Then for t > 0 we have

$$\begin{split} \left| f_{\alpha,\nu}(t) \right| &= \left| f_{\alpha,-\nu}(t) \right| = \frac{e^{-\frac{t}{2}}}{\left| \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha + \nu) \right|} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-s} s^{-\frac{1}{2} - \alpha + \nu} \left(1 + \frac{s}{t} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2} + \alpha + \nu} ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-\frac{t}{2}}}{\left| \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha + \nu) \right|} \int_0^\infty e^{-s} s^{-1} (s^{1/M} + s^{2M}) \left(1 + \frac{s}{t} \right)^M ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\left| \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha + \nu) \right|} \left[\Gamma(\frac{1}{M}) f_{\frac{1}{2}(M - \frac{1}{M} + 1), \frac{1}{2}(M + \frac{1}{M})}(t) + \Gamma(2M) f_{\frac{1}{2}(1 - M), \frac{3M}{2}}(t) \right] \end{split}$$

where we have used the integral representation (3). Moreover, letting $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a repeated application of the recurrence relation (5) shows that $f_{\alpha+\frac{n}{2},\nu+\frac{n}{2}}(t) = p_{n,\alpha,\nu}^{(1)}(\frac{1}{t})f_{\alpha,\nu}(t) + p_{n,\alpha,\nu}^{(2)}(\frac{1}{t})f_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2},\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(t)$, where the $p_{n,\alpha,\nu}^{(i)}(\cdot)$ are polynomials of degree at most n whose coefficients depend on α and ν . Therefore, for $\frac{1}{M} \leq \frac{1}{2} - \operatorname{Re} \alpha \leq M - \frac{1}{2}$ and $-M + \frac{1}{2} \leq \operatorname{Re} \nu \leq M$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| f_{\alpha + \frac{n}{2}, \nu + \frac{n}{2}}(t) \right| \leq \left| p_{n,\alpha,\nu}^{(1)} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) f_{\alpha,\nu}(t) \right| + \left| p_{n,\alpha,\nu}^{(2)} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) f_{\alpha - \frac{1}{2},\nu - \frac{1}{2}}(t) \right| \\
& \leq \left(\left| p_{n,\alpha,\nu}^{(1)} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \right| + \left| p_{n,\alpha,\nu}^{(2)} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \right| \right) G(\alpha,\nu) \left[\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{M} \right) f_{\frac{1}{2}(M - \frac{1}{M} + 1),\frac{1}{2}(M + \frac{1}{M})}(t) + \Gamma(2M) f_{\frac{1}{2}(1 - M),\frac{3M}{2}}(t) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where

$$G(\alpha, \nu) = \begin{cases} 2|\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha + \nu)|^{-1}, & \frac{1}{2} \le \operatorname{Re} \nu \le M \\ |\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha + \nu)|^{-1} + |\Gamma(\frac{3}{2} - \alpha - \nu)|^{-1}, & 0 \le \operatorname{Re} \nu < \frac{1}{2} \\ |\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha - \nu)|^{-1} + |\Gamma(\frac{3}{2} - \alpha - \nu)|^{-1}, & -M + \frac{1}{2} \le \operatorname{Re} \nu < 0. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, for $\frac{1}{M} \leq \frac{1}{2} - \operatorname{Re} \alpha \leq M$ the integral representation (12) gives

$$\begin{aligned} |D_{2\alpha}(t)| &= \frac{e^{-\frac{t^2}{4}}}{|\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha)|} t^{2\operatorname{Re}\alpha} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-s} s^{-\frac{1}{2} - \alpha} \left(1 + \frac{2s}{t^2} \right)^{\alpha} ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-\frac{t^2}{4}} t}{|\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha)|} (t^{-2M} + t^{-\frac{2}{M}}) \int_0^\infty e^{-s} s^{-1} (s^{\frac{1}{M}} + s^M) \left(1 + \frac{2s}{t^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{M}} ds \\ &= \frac{t}{|\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha)|} (t^{-2M} + t^{-\frac{2}{M}}) \left[\Gamma(\frac{1}{M}) f_{\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{M}, \frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{t^2}{2} \right) + \Gamma(M) f_{\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{2}(M + \frac{1}{M}), \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}(M + \frac{1}{M})} \left(\frac{t^2}{2} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

and, by (14), for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $D_{2\alpha+n}(t) = p_{n,\alpha}^{(3)}(t)D_{2\alpha}(t) + p_{n,\alpha}^{(4)}(t)D_{2\alpha-1}(t)$, being $p_{n,\alpha}^{(j)}(\cdot)$ polynomials of degree at most n with coefficients depending on α , hence

$$\left| D_{2\alpha+n}(t) \right| \leq \left(\left| \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha) \right|^{-1} + \left| \Gamma(1 - \alpha) \right|^{-1} \right) \left(\left| p_{n,\alpha,\nu}^{(3)}(t) \right| + \left| p_{n,\alpha}^{(4)}(t) \right| \right) t \left(t^{-2M} + t^{-\frac{2}{M}} \right) \\
\times \left[\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{M}\right) f_{\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{M}, \frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{t^2}{2} \right) + \Gamma(M) f_{\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{2}(M + \frac{1}{M}), \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}(M + \frac{1}{M})} \left(\frac{t^2}{2} \right) \right].$$
(28)

Using the inequalities (27), (28) and the limiting forms (2), (4) for the Whittaker function, one can verify without difficulty that

$$\sup_{(\alpha,\nu)\in\mathcal{R}_M} \int_0^\infty \left| W_{\alpha+\frac{n}{2},\nu+\frac{n}{2}}(\xi) k_{\alpha+\frac{n}{2}}(x,y,\xi) \right| \frac{d\xi}{\xi^2} < \infty \tag{29}$$

where $\mathcal{R}_M = \{(\alpha, \nu) : \frac{1}{M} \leq \frac{1}{2} - \operatorname{Re}\alpha \leq M - \frac{1}{2}, -M + \frac{1}{2} \leq \operatorname{Re}\nu \leq M\}$. Since M and n are arbitrary, the known results on the analyticity of parameter-dependent integrals (e.g. [16]) yield that

 $\int_0^\infty W_{\alpha,\nu}(\xi) \, k_\alpha(x,y,\xi) \, \xi^{-2\alpha} e^{-\frac{1}{2\xi}} d\xi$ is an entire function of the parameter α and the parameter ν . As the left-hand side of (17) is also an entire function of α and ν , by analytic continuation we conclude that the product formula (17) extends to all $\alpha, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$, as we wanted to show.

Remark 3.5. (a) The product formula (17) can be equivalently written in terms of the confluent hypergeometric function of the second kind as

$$(xy)^{a+\nu}\Psi(a+\nu,1+2\nu;x)\Psi(a+\nu,1+2\nu;y) = \int_0^\infty \xi^{a+\nu}\Psi(a+\nu,1+2\nu;\xi) q_a(x,y,\xi) \,\mathrm{m}_a(\xi)d\xi \qquad (x,y>0,\ a,\nu\in\mathbb{C}),$$
(30)

being

$$m_a(\xi) := \xi^{-2a-1}e^{-\xi},
 q_a(x, y, \xi) := (xy\xi)^{a-\frac{1}{2}}e^{\frac{1}{2}(x+y+\xi)}k_{\frac{1}{2}-a}(x, y, \xi)
 = 2^{-\frac{3}{2}+a}\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}(xy\xi)^a \exp\left(x+y+\xi-\frac{(xy+x\xi+y\xi)^2}{8xy\xi}\right)D_{1-2a}\left(\frac{xy+x\xi+y\xi}{(2xy\xi)^{1/2}}\right).$$
(31)

(b) It follows from (6) and (16) that in the particular case $\alpha = 0$, (17) specializes into

$$K_{\nu}(x)K_{\nu}(y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty K_{\nu}(\xi) \exp\left(-\frac{xy}{2\xi} - \frac{x\xi}{2y} - \frac{y\xi}{2x}\right) \frac{d\xi}{\xi}$$

which is the Macdonald formula for the product of modified Bessel functions (cf. [6, §7.7.6] and [28, Equation (1.103)]).

(c) Since the parabolic cylinder function $D_{\nu}(t)$ is a positive function of t > 0 whenever $\nu \in (-\infty, 1]$ (as can be seen e.g. from the representation (12)), we have

$$q_a(x, y, \xi) > 0$$
 for all $a \ge 0$ and $x, y, \xi > 0$.

This positivity property means that, for $a \ge 0$, the convolution operator induced by the product formula (30) (cf. Section 4) is positivity-preserving.

(d) Useful upper bounds for the kernels of the product formulas (17) and (30) are the following:

$$\left| k_{\alpha}(x,y,\xi) \right| \le A(y) (xy\xi)^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha} (xy + x\xi + y\xi)^{2\alpha} \exp\left(-\frac{xy}{4\xi} - \frac{x\xi}{4y} - \frac{y\xi}{4x} \right) \qquad (x,y,\xi > 0, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$\left| q_{a}(x,y,\xi) \right| \le A(y) (xy\xi)^{2a - \frac{1}{2}} (xy + x\xi + y\xi)^{1 - 2a} \exp\left(\xi - \frac{(x(\xi - y) + y\xi)^{2}}{4xy\xi} \right) \qquad (x,y,\xi > 0, \ a \in \mathbb{R}), \ (32)$$

where

$$A(y) = 2^{-\alpha - 1} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\max_{t \geq y^{1/2}} t^{-2\alpha} e^{\frac{t^2}{4}} D_{\alpha}(t) \right) < \infty \qquad (y > 0)$$

(in the second upper bound, we replace α by $\frac{1}{2} - a$ in the expression of A(y)). These equivalent upper bounds follow from the inequality $\frac{(xy+x\xi+y\xi)^2}{2xy\xi} \geq y$ and the fact that, by (13), the function $t^{-2\alpha}e^{t^2/4}D_{2\alpha}(t)$ is bounded on the interval $[y^{1/2}, \infty)$.

4. The convolution associated with the index Whittaker transform

4.1. Generalized translations

We start this section by defining the generalized translation induced by the Whittaker product formula:

Definition 4.1. Let $1 \le p \le \infty$ and $a \ge 0$. The linear operator

$$(\mathcal{T}_a^y f)(x) = \int_0^\infty f(\xi) q_a(x, y, \xi) \,\mathrm{m}_a(\xi) d\xi \qquad \left(f \in L_p \big((0, \infty); \mathrm{m}_a(x) dx \big), \ x, y > 0 \right)$$

will be called the index Whittaker translation operator (of order a).

Observe that the operator \mathcal{T}_a^y was defined so that (30) reads

$$\Big(\mathcal{T}^y_a \big[\xi^{a+\nu} \Psi(a+\nu, 1+2\nu; \xi) \big] \Big) (x) = (xy)^{a+\nu} \Psi(a+\nu, 1+2\nu; x) \Psi(a+\nu, 1+2\nu; y),$$

meaning that we have chosen the confluent hypergeometric form of the product formula for constructing the generalized translation operator. As we will see, this choice turns out to be particularly convenient for studying the L_p properties of the corresponding convolution operator.

The following lemma gives the closed-form expression for the index Whittaker translation of the power function $\theta(x) = x^{\beta}$.

Lemma 4.2. For $a, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\int_0^\infty \xi^\beta q_a(x, y, \xi) \,\mathrm{m}_a(\xi) d\xi = (xy)^\beta \Psi(\beta, 1 - 2a + 2\beta; x + y) \qquad (x, y > 0). \tag{33}$$

In particular, $\int_0^\infty q_a(x, y, \xi) \, \mathbf{m}_a(\xi) d\xi = 1$ for $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and x, y > 0.

Proof. Fix x, y > 0, and suppose that $a > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Using the definition (31) and the integral representation of $D_{1-2a}\left(\frac{xy+x\xi+y\xi}{(2xy\xi)^{1/2}}\right)$ obtained by exchanging the variables y and ξ in (26), we find that for each $a > \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$\begin{split} q_a(x,y,\xi)\,\xi^{-2a-1}e^{-\xi} &= \\ &= \frac{2^{2a-2}\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(2a-1)}(xy)^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi^{-\frac{3}{2}}\exp\Bigl(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{y}{2} - \frac{xy}{4\xi}\Bigr)\int_0^\infty u^{2a-2}\exp\Bigl(-u - \Bigl(u + \frac{x}{2} + \frac{y}{2}\Bigr)^2\frac{\xi}{xy}\Bigr)du. \end{split}$$

Consequently, we may compute

$$\begin{split} & \int_{0}^{\infty} \xi^{\beta} q_{a}(x,y,\xi) \, \mathbf{m}_{a}(\xi) d\xi \\ & = \frac{2^{2a-2}\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(2a-1)} (xy)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{y}{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} u^{2a-2} e^{-u} \int_{0}^{\infty} \xi^{\beta-\frac{3}{2}} \exp\left(-\left(u + \frac{x}{2} + \frac{y}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{\xi}{xy} - \frac{xy}{4\xi}\right) d\xi \, du \\ & = \frac{2^{2a-\beta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(2a-1)} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} (xy)^{\beta} \exp\left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{y}{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} u^{2a-2} \left(u + \frac{x}{2} + \frac{y}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\beta} e^{-u} K_{\beta-\frac{1}{2}} \left(u + \frac{x}{2} + \frac{y}{2}\right) du \\ & = \frac{2^{2a-\beta-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(2a-1)} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} (xy)^{\beta} \exp(x+y) \int_{\frac{x}{2} + \frac{y}{2}}^{\infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}-\beta} \left(t - \frac{x}{2} - \frac{y}{2}\right)^{2a-2} e^{-t} K_{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}(t) \, dt \\ & = (xy)^{\beta} \Psi(\beta, 1 - 2a + 2\beta; x + y) \end{split}$$

where the first equality is obtained by changing the order of integration (note the positivity of the integrand), the second equality follows from integral 2.3.16.1 in [19] and a few simplifications, the third equality results from the change of variables $u = t - \frac{x}{2} - \frac{y}{2}$, and the last equality uses relation 2.16.7.5 in [20]. This proves that (33) holds in the case $a > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

To extend the result to all $a, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, we can use an analytic continuation argument similar to that of the proof of Theorem 3.1. Indeed, using (28) and the elementary inequality $|\xi^{\beta}| \leq \xi^{-M} + \xi^{M}$ ($\xi > 0$, $\beta \in [-M, M]$) one can verify, as in the previous proof, that

$$\sup_{(a,\beta)\in\bar{\mathcal{R}}_M} \int_0^\infty \left| \, \xi^\beta q_{a-\frac{n}{2}}(x,y,\xi) \, \xi^{-2a+n-1} \right| e^{-\xi} d\xi < \infty$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_M = \{(a,\beta) : \frac{1}{M} \leq \operatorname{Re} a \leq M - \frac{1}{2}, -M \leq \operatorname{Re} \beta \leq M\}$, being M > 0 and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ arbitrary. Both sides of (33) are therefore entire functions of the parameter a and the parameter β ; consequently, the principle of analytic continuation gives (33) in the general case. By (7), the right-hand side of (33) equals 1 when $\beta = 0$.

The next proposition gives the basic continuity and L_p properties of the index Whittaker translation operator. We consider the weighted L_p spaces

$$L_p^a := L_p((0, \infty); \mathbf{m}_a(x) dx) \qquad (1 \le p \le \infty, \ 0 \le a < \infty)$$

with the usual norms

$$||f||_{p,a} = \left(\int_0^\infty |f(x)|^p \mathbf{m}_a(x) dx\right)^{1/p} \quad (1 \le p < \infty), \qquad ||f||_\infty \equiv ||f||_{\infty,a} = \underset{0 < x < \infty}{\operatorname{ess \, sup}} |f(x)|.$$

Proposition 4.3. Fix $a \ge 0$ and y > 0. Then:

- (a) If $f \in L^a_{\infty}$ is such that $0 \le f \le 1$, then $0 \le \mathcal{T}^y_a f \le 1$;
- (b) For each $1 \le p \le \infty$, we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}_a^y f\|_{p,a} \leq \|f\|_{p,a}$$
 for all $f \in L_p^a$

(in particular, $\mathcal{T}_a^y(L_p^a) \subset L_p^a$);

(c) If $f \in L^a_p$ where $1 , then <math>\mathcal{T}^y_a f \in C(0, \infty)$ and, moreover, we have

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \|\mathcal{T}_a^{y+h} f - \mathcal{T}_a^{y} f\|_{p,a} = 0;$$

- (d) If $f \in C_b(0,\infty)$, then $(\mathcal{T}_a^y f)(x) \to f(y)$ as $x \to \infty$;
- (e) If $f \in L^a_{\infty}$ is such that $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x) = 0$, then $\lim_{x\to 0} (\mathcal{T}^y_a f)(x) = 0$.

Proof. Throughout this proof the letter C stands for a constant whose exact value may change from line to line.

- (a) By Lemma 4.2, if $f \equiv 1$ then $\mathcal{T}_a^y f \equiv 1$. Moreover, Remark 3.5(c) means that $\mathcal{T}_a^y f$ is nonnegative whenever f is nonnegative. Recalling that \mathcal{T}_a^y is a linear operator, we see that we have $0 \leq \mathcal{T}_a^y f \leq 1$ whenever $0 \leq f \leq 1$.
 - (b) The case $p = \infty$ was proved in part (a). Now, for $1 \le p < \infty$ and $f \in L_p^a$ we have

$$\begin{split} \|\mathcal{T}_{a}^{y}f\|_{p,a}^{p} &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \left| \int_{0}^{\infty} f(\xi) q_{a}(x,y,\xi) \, \mathbf{m}_{a}(\xi) d\xi \right|^{p} \mathbf{m}_{a}(x) dx \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} |f(\xi)|^{p} q_{a}(x,y,\xi) \, \mathbf{m}_{a}(\xi) d\xi \, \mathbf{m}_{a}(x) dx \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} q_{a}(x,y,\xi) \, \mathbf{m}_{a}(x) dx \, |f(\xi)|^{p} \mathbf{m}_{a}(\xi) d\xi = \|f\|_{p,a}^{p} \end{split}$$

where we have used the final statement in Lemma 4.2, the fact that $q_a(x, y, \xi)$ is positive and symmetric, and Hölder's inequality.

(c) For $f \in L_p^a$ (1 , by Young's inequality we have

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} |f(\xi)| q_{a}(x, y, \xi) \, \mathbf{m}_{a}(\xi) d\xi \leq \frac{1}{p} \|f\|_{p, a}^{p} + \frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{\infty} |q_{a}(x, y, \xi)|^{q} \mathbf{m}_{a}(\xi) d\xi$$

and therefore the continuity of $\mathcal{T}_a^y f$ will be proved if we show that, for each $1 \leq q < \infty$, the integral $\int_0^\infty |q_a(x,y,\xi)|^q \, \mathrm{m}_a(\xi) d\xi$ converges absolutely and locally uniformly. In fact, let us fix M > 0; then,

$$q_{a}(x,y,\xi) \leq A(y) (xy\xi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{y}{x} + \frac{y}{\xi}\right) \exp\left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{y}{2} - \frac{xy}{4\xi} - \frac{(x-y)^{2}\xi}{4xy}\right)$$

$$\leq A_{1}(y)\xi^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\xi}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{y}{4M\xi}\right), \qquad \frac{1}{M} \leq x \leq M, \ \xi > 0 \qquad (34)$$

where $A_1(y) = A(y) (yM)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1+y)(1+M) \exp(\frac{M}{2} + \frac{y}{2})$; the first inequality follows by combining (32) with the fact that $(1+\frac{y}{x}+\frac{y}{\xi})^{-2a} \leq 1$ $(a \geq 0)$, while the bounds for x imply the second inequality. Clearly, (34) implies that $\int_0^\infty |q_a(x,y,\xi)|^q \mathrm{m}_a(\xi) d\xi$ converges absolutely and uniformly in $x \in [\frac{1}{M}, M]$, and it follows that $\mathcal{T}_a^y f \in \mathrm{C}(0,\infty)$.

To prove the L_p -continuity of the translation, let $f \in C_c(0,\infty)$. Fix M > 0 such that the support of f is contained in $[\frac{1}{M}, M]$. Interchanging the role of x and ξ in the estimate (34), we easily see that

$$|\mathcal{T}_{a}^{y+h}f(x)| \le ||f||_{\infty} \int_{\frac{1}{M}}^{M} q_{a}(x, y+h, \xi) \, \mathrm{m}_{a}(\xi) d\xi \le ||f||_{\infty} \, A_{2}(y+h) \, x^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{y+h}{4Mx}\right) \tag{35}$$

where $A_2(y) = A_1(y) \int_{1/M}^M \mathrm{m}_a(\xi) d\xi$. It is easy to check that the function $A_2(y)$ is locally bounded on $(0, \infty)$, so it follows from (35) that there exists $g \in L_p^a$ such that $|\mathcal{T}_a^{y+h}f(x)| \leq g(x)$ for all $0 < x < \infty$ and all $|h| < \delta$ (where $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small). We have already proved that $(\mathcal{T}_a^y f)(x) \equiv (\mathcal{T}_a^x f)(y)$ is continuous in y, hence by L_p -dominated convergence we conclude that $\|\mathcal{T}_a^{y+h}f - \mathcal{T}_a^y f\|_{p,a} \to 0$ as $h \to 0$. As in the proof of the L_p -continuity of the ordinary translation, for general $f \in L_p^a$ the result is proved by taking a sequence of functions $f_n \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}(0,\infty)$ which tend to f in L_p^a -norm.

(d) We start by studying the behavior as $x \to 0$ of the integral $\int_{E_{\delta}} q_a(x, y, \xi) \, \mathbf{m}_a(\xi) d\xi$, where $E_{\delta} = \{ \xi \in (0, \infty) : |y^{-1} - \xi^{-1}| > \delta \}$ and $\delta \in (0, y^{-1})$ is some fixed constant. We have

$$q_a(x, y, \xi)e^{-\xi} \le C \frac{x\xi + xy + y\xi}{|x(\xi - y) + y\xi|} \exp\left(-\frac{(x(\xi - y) + y\xi)^2}{8xy\xi}\right), \quad x, \xi > 0$$
 (36)

(where $C < \infty$ is independent of x and ξ). This follows by combining (32) with the boundedness of the function $|t|e^{-t^2}$ and the inequality $(xy + x\xi + y\xi)^{-2a} \le (x\xi)^{-2a}$. Furthermore, if $x \ge 2\delta^{-1}$ and $\xi \in E_{\delta}$, the inequalities

$$\frac{x\xi + xy + y\xi}{|x(\xi - y) + y\xi|} = \left|1 + \frac{2\xi^{-1}}{y^{-1} - \xi^{-1} + x^{-1}}\right| \le 1 + \frac{4}{\delta\xi}, \qquad \exp\left(-\frac{x(\xi - y)^2}{8y\xi} - \frac{y\xi}{8x}\right) \le \exp\left(-\frac{(\xi - y)^2}{4\delta y\xi}\right)$$

lead us to

$$q_a(x, y, \xi)\xi^{-2a-1}e^{-\xi} \le C\xi^{-2a-1}(1+\xi^{-1})\exp\left(-\frac{\xi}{4} - \frac{(\xi - y)^2}{4\delta y\xi}\right), \qquad x \le \frac{2}{\delta}, \ \xi \in E_{\delta}.$$
 (37)

Since the right-hand side of (37) clearly belongs to $L_1(E_{\delta})$, the dominated convergence theorem is applicable, and letting $x \to \infty$ in (36) we find that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \int_{E_{\delta}} q_a(x, y, \xi) \,\mathrm{m}_a(\xi) d\xi = \int_{E_{\delta}} \left(\lim_{x \to \infty} q_a(x, y, \xi) \right) \mathrm{m}_a(\xi) d\xi = 0. \tag{38}$$

Let us now fix $\varepsilon > 0$, and write $V_{\delta} = \{ \xi \in (0, \infty) : |y^{-1} - \xi^{-1}| \le \delta \}$. Since f is continuous, we can choose $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(\xi) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ for all $\xi \in V_{\delta}$. By this choice of δ and the positivity of $q_a(x, y, \xi)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (\mathcal{T}_a^y f)(x) - f(y) \right| &= \left| \int_0^\infty q_a(x, y, \xi) \left(f(\xi) - f(y) \right) \mathbf{m}_a(\xi) d\xi \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{E_\delta} q_a(x, y, \xi) \left(f(\xi) - f(y) \right) \mathbf{m}_a(\xi) d\xi \right| + \varepsilon \int_{V_\delta} q_a(x, y, \xi) \mathbf{m}_a(\xi) d\xi \\ &\leq 2 \|f\|_\infty \int_{E_\delta} q_a(x, y, \xi) \, \mathbf{m}_a(\xi) d\xi + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By (38), it follows that $\limsup_{x\to\infty} \left| (\mathcal{T}_a^y f)(x) - f(y) \right| \le \varepsilon$. Since ε is arbitrary, the proof of part (d) is finished.

(e) We begin by claiming that for each $\delta > 0$ we have $\int_{\delta}^{\infty} q_a(x, y, \xi) m_a(\xi) d\xi \to 0$ as $x \to 0$. Indeed, if $x < \frac{\delta}{2}$ and $\xi \ge \delta$, combining (36) with the inequalities

$$\frac{x\xi + xy + y\xi}{x(\xi - y) + y\xi} = 1 + \frac{2\xi^{-1}}{y^{-1} + x^{-1} - \xi^{-1}} \le 1 + \frac{2\delta^{-1}}{y^{-1} + \delta^{-1}}, \qquad \exp\left(-\frac{x(\xi - y)^2}{8y\xi} - \frac{y\xi}{8x}\right) \le \exp\left(-\frac{y\xi}{4\delta}\right)$$

we see that

$$q_a(x,y,\xi)\xi^{-2a-1}e^{-\xi} \le C\,\xi^{-2a-1}\exp\left(-\frac{\xi}{4}-\frac{y\xi}{4\delta}\right), \qquad x \le \frac{\delta}{2}, \ \xi \ge \delta$$

where the right-hand side belongs to $L_1([\delta, \infty))$; hence, if we let $x \to 0$ in (36), by dominated convergence we obtain

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} q_a(x, y, \xi) \, \mathbf{m}_a(\xi) d\xi = \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \left(\lim_{x \to 0} q_a(x, y, \xi) \right) \mathbf{m}_a(\xi) d\xi = 0. \tag{39}$$

Let $f \in B_b(0, \infty)$ be such that $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x) = 0$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose M such that $|f(x)| < \varepsilon$ for all $x \le \delta$. Then,

$$|(\mathcal{T}_a^y f)(x)| \le ||f||_{\infty} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} q_a(x, y, \xi) \, \mathbf{m}_a(\xi) d\xi + \varepsilon \int_{0}^{\delta} q_a(x, y, \xi) \, \mathbf{m}_a(\xi) d\xi$$
$$\le ||f||_{\infty} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} q_a(x, y, \xi) \, \mathbf{m}_a(\xi) d\xi + \varepsilon$$

so that (39) yields $\limsup_{x\to 0} |(\mathcal{T}_a^y f)(x)| \le \varepsilon$, and hence $\lim_{x\to 0} (\mathcal{T}_a^y f)(x) = 0$ because ε is arbitrary. \square

4.2. Generalized convolution in the spaces L_n^a

The index Whittaker translation induces, in a standard way, a generalized convolution operator:

Definition 4.4. Let $f, g: (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{C}$ be two complex-valued functions, and let $a \geq 0$. If the double integral

$$(f * g)(x) := \int_0^\infty (\mathcal{T}_a^x f)(\xi) g(\xi) \, \mathbf{m}_a(\xi) d\xi = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty q_a(x, y, \xi) f(y) g(\xi) \, \mathbf{m}_a(y) dy \, \mathbf{m}_a(\xi) d\xi$$

exists for almost every $0 < x < \infty$, then we call it the *index Whittaker convolution (of order a)* of the functions f and g.

This convolution generalizes the convolution associated with the Kontorovich-Lebedev transform: indeed, in the case $a = \frac{1}{2}$ it is straightforward to verify, using (16), that

$$(2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}x^{-\frac{3}{2}}e^{-x}(f_{\frac{x}{1/2}}g)(2x) = (F_{KL}G)(x)$$

where $_{KL}^*$ is the Kontorovich-Lebedev convolution operator (as defined e.g. in [28, Section 4.1]) and $F(x) = x^{-\frac{3}{2}}e^{-x}f(2x)$, $G(x) = x^{-\frac{3}{2}}e^{-x}g(2x)$.

From Definition 4.4 it immediately follows that, for each $a \ge 0$, the index Whittaker convolution is positivity-preserving (i.e., $f *_{a} g \ge 0$ whenever $f, g \ge 0$) and commutative (i.e., $f *_{a} g = g *_{a} f$). Moreover, the index Whittaker convolution satisfies Young's inequality:

Proposition 4.5. Let $a \geq 0$ and $p_1, p_2 \in [1, \infty]$ such that $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} \geq 1$. For $f \in L^a_{p_1}$ and $g \in L^a_{p_2}$, the convolution $f *_a g$ is well-defined and, for $r \in [1, \infty]$ defined by $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} - 1$, it satisfies

$$||f \underset{a}{*} g||_{r,a} \le ||f||_{p_1,a} ||g||_{p_2,a}$$

(in particular, $f *_a g \in L_r^a$).

Proof. The proof relies on Proposition 4.3(b) and the same reasoning as in the classical case; see e.g. the proof of Proposition 1.III.5 of [24]. \Box

Similar to the case of the classical convolution, the $\frac{*}{a}$ -convolution of two functions belonging to L_p^a spaces with conjugate exponents defines a continuous function:

Proposition 4.6. Let $a \geq 0$ and $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. If $f \in L_p^a$ and $g \in L_q^a$, then $f * g \in C_b(0, \infty)$.

Proof. The previous proposition ensures the boundedness of f * g. For the continuity, let $x_0 > 0$; then for $1 \le p < \infty$ we have

$$|(f * g)(x) - (f * g)(x_0)| = \left| \int_0^\infty \left((\mathcal{T}_a^x f)(\xi) - (\mathcal{T}_a^{x_0} f)(\xi) \right) g(\xi) \mathbf{m}_a(\xi) d\xi \right|$$

$$\leq ||\mathcal{T}_a^x f - \mathcal{T}_a^{x_0} f||_{p,a} ||g||_{q,a} \to 0 \quad \text{as } x \to x_0$$

by Hölder's inequality and Proposition 4.3(c).

We now turn our attention to the connection between the $*_a$ -convolution and the index Whittaker transform, which will be our tool for establishing additional L_p properties for the convolution. We shall consider the *confluent hypergeometric form* of the index Whittaker transform, which we define by

$$(\Psi_a f)(\tau) = \int_0^\infty f(x) x^{a+i\tau} \Psi(a+i\tau, 1+2i\tau; x) \,\mathrm{m}_a(x) dx, \qquad \tau \ge 0$$
(40)

(later, complex values for τ shall also be considered).

Before stating the basic properties of this integral transform, we observe that, by transformation of the Whittaker differential equation, the function $x^{a+\nu}\Psi(a+\nu,1+2\nu;x)$ is a standard solution of the confluent hypergeometric-type differential equation

$$\mathcal{L}_a w(x) = (\nu^2 - a^2) w(x), \tag{41}$$

where \mathcal{L}_a is the differential operator

$$\mathcal{L}_a f = x^2 \frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} - ((2a - 1)y + y^2) \frac{df}{dx}.$$
 (42)

The other standard solution of (41) is $x^{a+\nu} {}_1F_1(a+\nu,1+2\nu;x)$, where ${}_1F_1(a+\nu,1+2\nu;x)$ denotes the confluent hypergeometric function of the first kind [17, Chapter 13].

Theorem 4.7. For a > 0, the index Whittaker transform (40) defines an isometric isomorphism

$$\Psi_a: L_2^a \longrightarrow L_2((0,\infty); \rho_a(\tau)d\tau)$$

where $\rho_a(\tau) := \pi^{-2}\tau \sinh(2\pi\tau) |\Gamma(a+i\tau)|^2$, whose inverse is given by

$$(\Psi_a^{-1}\varphi)(x) = \int_0^\infty \varphi(\tau) \, x^{a+i\tau} \Psi(a+i\tau, 1+2i\tau; x) \, \rho_a(\tau) d\tau \tag{43}$$

the convergence of the integrals (40) and (43) being understood with respect to the norm of the spaces $L_2((0,\infty); \rho_a(\tau)d\tau)$ and L_2^a respectively. Moreover, the confluent hypergeometric-type differential operator (42) is connected with the Whittaker transform via the identity

$$[\Psi_a(\mathcal{L}_a f)](\tau) = (\tau^2 + a^2) \cdot (\Psi_a f)(\tau), \qquad f \in \mathcal{D}_2^a$$
(44)

where

$$\mathcal{D}_2^a := \Big\{ f \in L_2^a \ \Big| \ f \ \ and \ f' \ \ locally \ \ absolutely \ \ continuous \ \ on \ (0, \infty), \ \mathcal{L}_a f \in L_2^a, \ \lim_{x \to \infty} x^{1-2a} e^{-x} f'(x) = 0 \Big\}.$$

Proof. The index Whittaker transform in confluent hypergeometric form can be written as the composition $\Psi_a f = W_{\frac{1}{2}-a}(\Theta_a f)$, where $\Theta_a : L_2^a \longrightarrow L_2((0,\infty); y^{-2}dy)$ is the isometric operator defined by

$$(\Theta_a f)(x) := x^{\frac{1}{2} - a} e^{-\frac{x}{2}} f(x), \qquad x > 0$$

and $W_{\frac{1}{2}-a}$ is the operator (1) of the index Whittaker transform in classical form. Therefore, the fact that Ψ_a is an isomorphism and the inversion formula follows from known results on the L_2 -theory for the index Whittaker transform, cf. [23, Section 3]. As for relation (44), it is due to the fact that the index Whittaker transform in confluent hypergeometric form arises from the spectral expansion of the differential operator (42), cf. [22, Section 3.2] and [25, Section 8].

We note that, for a > 0 and $\nu = i\tau$, the product formula (30) can be written as

$$(xy)^{a+\nu}\Psi(a+\nu,1+2\nu;x)\Psi(a+\nu,1+2\nu;y) = [\Psi_a\,q_a(x,y,\cdot)](\tau), \qquad (x,y>0,\;a>0,\;\tau\geq0).$$

Applying the inverse Whittaker transform (43), we find that for $x, y, \xi > 0$ and a > 0 we have

$$q_{a}(x,y,\xi) = \int_{0}^{\infty} (xy\xi)^{a+i\tau} \Psi(a+i\tau,1+2i\tau;x) \Psi(a+i\tau,1+2i\tau;y) \Psi(a+i\tau,1+2i\tau;\xi) \rho_{a}(\tau) d\tau$$
 (45)

where the integral on the right-hand side converges absolutely, as can be verified using the asymptotic forms (8) and $|\Gamma(a+i\tau)| \sim (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau^{a-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-\frac{\pi\tau}{2}), \ \tau \to +\infty$ (cf. [17, Equation 5.11.9]).

The following upper bound on the kernel of the index Whittaker transform turns out to be useful for studying the connection with the $\frac{*}{4}$ -convolution:

Lemma 4.8. Let $a \ge 0$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ belonging to the strip $0 \le \operatorname{Re} \nu \le a$. Then

$$|x^{a+\nu}\Psi(a+\nu, 1+2\nu; x)| \le 1$$
 for all $x \ge 0$.

Proof. By relations 2.17.7.4 in [21] and 3.7(6) in [5], the confluent hypergeometric function admits the integral representation

$$x^{a+\nu}\Psi(a+\nu,1+2\nu;x) = \frac{x^{a+\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x}{2}(t-1)} \left(\frac{t-1}{t+1}\right)^{\frac{a}{2}-\frac{1}{4}} P_{-\frac{1}{2}+\nu}^{\frac{1}{2}-a}(t) dt$$

$$=\frac{2^{-a-\frac{1}{2}}\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(a)}x^{a+\frac{1}{2}}\int_{1}^{\infty}e^{-\frac{x}{2}(t-1)}(t-1)^{a-\frac{1}{2}}\int_{0}^{\pi}\left(t+(t^{2}-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\cos s\right)^{-a+\nu}(\sin s)^{2a-1}ds\,dt$$
(Re $a>0,\ \nu\in\mathbb{C}$)

where $P_{-\frac{1}{2}+\nu}^{\frac{1}{2}-a}(t)$ is the associated Legendre function of the first kind [5, Chapter III]. Consequently,

$$\left| x^{a+\nu} \Psi(a+\nu, 1+2\nu; x) \right| \leq \frac{2^{-\operatorname{Re} a - \frac{1}{2}} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(a)} x^{a+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x}{2}(t-1)} (t-1)^{\operatorname{Re} a - \frac{1}{2}} \times \int_{0}^{\pi} \left(t + (t^{2} - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos s \right)^{\operatorname{Re}(-a+\nu)} (\sin s)^{2\operatorname{Re} a - 1} ds \, dt
= \frac{\Gamma(\operatorname{Re} a)}{|\Gamma(a)|} x^{\operatorname{Re}(a+\nu)} \Psi(\operatorname{Re}(a+\nu), 1 + 2\operatorname{Re} \nu; x) \qquad (\operatorname{Re} a < \frac{1}{2}, \ \nu \in \mathbb{C}).$$
(46)

In particular, if a is a positive real number then $|x^{a+\nu}\Psi(a+\nu,1+2\nu;x)| \leq x^{a+\operatorname{Re}\nu}\Psi(a+\operatorname{Re}\nu,1+2\operatorname{Re}\nu;x);$ therefore, to conclude the proof we just need to prove that $|x^{a+\nu}\Psi(a+\nu,1+2\nu;x)| \leq 1$ for a>0 and $0\leq\nu\leq a$ (the result for a=0 being obtained by continuity). Indeed, (3) yields that

$$0 < x^{a+\nu} \Psi(a+\nu, 1+2\nu; x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a+\nu)} \int_0^\infty e^{-s} s^{a+\nu-1} \left(1 + \frac{s}{x}\right)^{-a+\nu} ds$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(a+\nu)} \int_0^\infty e^{-s} s^{a+\nu-1} ds$$

$$= 1 \qquad (x > 0, \ a > 0, \ 0 < \nu < a)$$

where the last inequality holds because $-a + \nu \leq 0$.

The fundamental properties which connect the index Whittaker translation and convolution with the index Whittaker transform and its associated differential operator (42) are given in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.9. Let y > 0, a > 0 and $\tau > 0$. Then:

- (a) If $f \in L_2^a$, then $(\Psi_a(\mathcal{T}_a^y f))(\tau) = y^{a+i\tau} \Psi(a+i\tau, 1+2i\tau; y) (\Psi_a f)(\tau)$;
- (b) If $f \in L_2^a$ and $g \in L_1^a$, then $(\Psi_a(f *_{\stackrel{\circ}{a}} g))(\tau) = (\Psi_a f)(\tau)(\Psi_a g)(\tau)$;
- (c) If $f \in L_2^a$ and $g \in L_1^a$, then $\mathcal{T}_a^y(f * g) = (\mathcal{T}_a^y f) * g$;
- (d) If $f \in \mathcal{D}_2^a$, then $\mathcal{T}_a^y f \in \mathcal{D}_2^a$ and $\mathcal{L}_a(\mathcal{T}_a^y f) = \mathcal{T}_a^y(\mathcal{L}_a f)$;
- (e) If $f \in \mathcal{D}_2^a$ and $g \in L_1^a$, then $f * g \in \mathcal{D}_2^a$ and $\mathcal{L}_a(f * g) = (\mathcal{L}_a f) * g$.

Proof. (a) Let $f \in L_1^a \cap L_2^a$. The Macdonald-type formula (30), combined with Fubini's theorem, gives

$$(\Psi_a(\mathcal{T}_a^y f))(\tau) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(\xi) q_a(x, y, \xi) \, \mathbf{m}_a(\xi) d\xi \, x^{a+i\tau} \Psi(a+i\tau, 1+2i\tau; x) \, \mathbf{m}_a(x) dx$$

$$= y^{a+i\tau} \Psi(a+i\tau, 1+2i\tau; y) \int_0^\infty f(\xi) \xi^{a+i\tau} \Psi(a+i\tau, 1+2i\tau; \xi) \, \mathbf{m}_a(\xi) d\xi$$

$$= y^{a+i\tau} \Psi(a+i\tau, 1+2i\tau; y) \, (\Psi_a f)(\tau).$$

By denseness and continuity, the equality extends to all $f \in L_2^a$, as required.

(b) For $f \in L_1^a \cap L_2^a$ and $g \in L_1^a$ we have

$$\left(\Psi_{a}(f \underset{a}{*} g)\right)(\tau) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{T}_{a}^{x} f)(\xi) g(\xi) \operatorname{m}_{a}(\xi) d\xi \, x^{a+i\tau} \Psi(a+i\tau,1+2i\tau;x) \operatorname{m}_{a}(x) dx
= \int_{0}^{\infty} g(\xi) \left(\Psi_{a}(\mathcal{T}_{a}^{\xi} f)\right)(\tau) \operatorname{m}_{a}(\xi) d\xi
= (\Psi_{a} f)(\tau) \int_{0}^{\infty} g(\xi) \, \xi^{a+i\tau} \Psi(a+i\tau,1+2i\tau;\xi) \operatorname{m}_{a}(\xi) d\xi = (\Psi_{a} f)(\tau) \, (\Psi_{a} g)(\tau)$$
(47)

where we have used Fubini's theorem and part (a). Again, denseness yields the result.

(c) By the previous properties,

$$\Psi_a \left[\mathcal{T}_a^y (f *_{a} g) \right] (\tau) = \Psi_a \left[\left(\mathcal{T}_a^y f \right) *_{a} g \right] (\tau) = y^{a+i\tau} \Psi(a+i\tau,1+2i\tau;y) \left(\Psi_a f \right) (\tau) \left(\Psi_a g \right) (\tau).$$

Since both $\mathcal{T}_a^y(f \underset{a}{*} g)$ and $(\mathcal{T}_a^y f) \underset{a}{*} g$ are elements of the space L_2^a (see Proposition 4.10 below), this implies that $\mathcal{T}_a^y(f \underset{a}{*} g) = (\mathcal{T}_a^y f) \underset{a}{*} g$.

(d) Since the integral transform Ψ_a is generated by the spectral expansion of the operator (42), it is known from the general spectral theory of linear differential operators [25] that a function $f \in L_2^a$ belongs to \mathcal{D}_2^a if and only if $(\tau^2 + a^2) \cdot (\Psi_a f)(\tau) \in L_2((0, \infty); \rho_a(\tau)d\tau)$. Using this fact and the inequality $|x^{a+i\tau}\Psi(a+i\tau, 1+2i\tau; x)| \leq 1$ (Lemma 4.8), it is easy to see that $\mathcal{T}_a^y f \in \mathcal{D}_2^a$. The identity $\mathcal{L}_a(\mathcal{T}_a^y f) = \mathcal{T}_a^y(\mathcal{L}_a f)$ holds because the Whittaker transform of both sides equals $(\tau^2 + a^2)y^{a+i\tau}\Psi(a+i\tau, 1+2i\tau; y)(\Psi_a f)(\tau)$.

(e) The proof is similar to that of
$$(d)$$
.

We have seen in Proposition 4.5 that if $f \in L^a_2$ and $g \in L^a_p$ $(1 \le p < 2)$ then the Whittaker convolution $f *_a g$ exists and belongs to $L^a_{\frac{2p}{2-p}}$. Using the index Whittaker transform, this result can be strengthened as follows:

Proposition 4.10. Let $f \in L_2^a$ and $g \in L_p^a$, where $1 \le p < 2$ and a > 0. Then $f *_a g \in L_2^a$, and we have

$$||f *_a g||_{L_2^a} \le C_p ||f||_{L_2^a} ||g||_{L_2^a}$$

where
$$C_p = ||x^a \Psi(a, 1; x)||_{L_q^a} < \infty$$
 (being $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$).

Proof. The fact that $||x^a\Psi(a,1;x)||_{L^a_q}$ is finite for each $2 < q \le \infty$ is easily verified using the limiting forms (2), (4). Now, for $f,g \in C_c(0,\infty)$ we have

$$\|f \underset{a}{*} g\|_{L_{2}^{a}} = \|(\Psi_{a}f) \cdot (\Psi_{a}g)\|_{L_{2}(\rho_{a})} \leq \sup_{\tau \geq 0} |(\Psi_{a}g)(\tau)| \cdot \|\Psi_{a}f\|_{L_{2}(\rho_{a})} \leq \|x^{a}\Psi(a, 1; x)\|_{L_{q}^{a}} \|g\|_{L_{p}^{a}} \|f\|_{L_{2}^{a}} \|f\|_{L_{2}^{a}} \|g\|_{L_{p}^{a}} \|f\|_{L_{2}^{a}} \|f\|_{L_$$

where we denoted $L_2(\rho_a) = L_2((0,\infty); \rho_a(\tau)d\tau)$; we have used the isometric property of the index Whittaker transform, and the final step relies on the inequality $|x^{a+i\tau}\Psi(a+i\tau,1+2i\tau;x)| \leq x^a\Psi(a,1;x)$ (proved in (46)) and on Hölder's inequality. As usual, the result for $f \in L_2^a$ and $g \in L_p^a$ follows from the denseness of $C_c(0,\infty)$ in these L_p spaces.

Corollary 4.11. (a) If $f, g \in L_2^a$ (a > 0), then $f *_a g \in L_a^a$ for all $2 < q \le \infty$, with

$$||f *_{q} g||_{L_{q}^{a}} \le C_{q} ||f||_{L_{2}^{a}} ||g||_{L_{2}^{a}}$$

being $C_q = \|x^a \Psi(a, 1; x)\|_{L_a^a}$.

(b) Let $1 \le p_1 < 2$ and $1 \le p_2 \le 2$ such that $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} \le \frac{3}{2}$. Let r be defined by $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} - 1$. If $f \in L^a_{p_1}$ and $g \in L^a_{p_2}$ (a > 0), then $f *_{a} g \in L^a_{s}$ for all $s \in [2, r]$.

Proof. Both results can be deduced from Proposition 4.10 by arguing as in the proofs of Theorem 5.5(ii) and Corollary 5.6 of [7]. \Box

4.3. The convolution Banach algebra $L^{a,\nu}$

In this subsection we focus on the properties of the index Whittaker convolution in the family of spaces $\{L^{a,\nu}\}_{\nu>0}$, where

$$L^{a,\nu} := L_1((0,\infty); x^{a+\nu} \Psi(a+\nu, 1+2\nu; x) \,\mathrm{m}_a(x) dx) \qquad (0 < a < \infty, \, \nu \ge 0).$$

We observe that, by the limiting forms of the confluent hypergeometric function of the second kind,

$$f \in L^{a,\nu} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad f \in L_1((0,1]; x^{-a-\nu-1} dx) \cap L_1([1,\infty); x^{-2a-1} e^{-x} dx) \quad (\nu > 0)$$

$$f \in L^{a,0} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad f \in L_1((0,1]; -x^{-a-1} \log x \, dx) \cap L_1([1,\infty); x^{-2a-1} e^{-x} dx)$$

$$(48)$$

and therefore the spaces $L^{a,\nu}$ are ordered:

$$L^{a,\nu_1} \subset L^{a,\nu_2}$$
 whenever $\nu_1 > \nu_2$. (49)

It is also interesting to note that the family $\{L^{a,\nu}\}_{\nu\geq 0}$ contains the space L_1^a . Indeed, (7) yields $\Psi(2a,1+2a;x)\equiv x^{-2a}$, which means that $L_1^a=L^{a,a}$.

The following lemma gives some properties of the index Whittaker transform in the spaces $L^{a,\nu}$ which will be needed in what follows.

Lemma 4.12. Let $0 < a < \infty$ and $\nu \ge 0$. If $f \in L^{a,\nu}$, then its index Whittaker transform $\Psi_a f$ is well-defined as an absolutely convergent integral, and it satisfies

$$(\Psi_a f)(\tau) \xrightarrow[\tau \to \infty]{} 0. \tag{50}$$

Moreover, if $\Psi_a f$ is identically zero, then f(x) = 0 for almost every x > 0.

Proof. The absolute convergence of the integral (40) follows from the inequality (46) and the inclusion $L^{a,\nu} \subset L^{a,0}$. By (8) we have $\lim_{\tau \to \infty} x^{a+i\tau} \Psi(a+i\tau,1+2i\tau;x) = 0$ for each x > 0, hence dominated convergence gives (50).

Now, suppose that $(\Psi_a f)(\tau) = 0$ for all $\tau \geq 0$. Since, by integral 2.16.8.3 in [20], the Whittaker transform can be written as

$$(\Psi_a f)(\tau) = \frac{2^{2-2a}}{|\Gamma(a+i\tau)|^2} \int_0^\infty f(x) \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4x}\right) t^{2a-1} K_{2i\tau}(t) dt \, \mathbf{m}_a(x) dx$$
$$= \frac{2^{2-2a}}{|\Gamma(a+i\tau)|^2} \int_0^\infty K_{2i\tau}(t) \, t^{2a-1} \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4x}\right) f(x) \mathbf{m}_a(x) dx \, dt$$

(the application of Fubini's theorem being easily justified), this means that the Kontorovich-Lebedev transform of the function $t^{2a-1} \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4x}\right) f(x) \, \mathrm{m}_a(x) dx$ vanishes identically. By the injectivity property of the Kontorovich-Lebedev transform (see [27, Theorem 6.5]), it follows that

$$\int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4x}\right) f(x) \, \mathrm{m}_a(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{for almost every } t > 0.$$

Now, the left-hand side is the Laplace transform of the function $x^{2a-1}e^{-1/x}f(\frac{1}{x})$ evaluated at $z=\frac{t^2}{4}$. Using the inverse theorem for the Laplace transform and a reasoning similar to that in the proof of Theorem 6.5 of [27], it follows that f(x) = 0 for almost every x > 0, and this completes the proof.

Proposition 4.13. Let a > 0 and $\nu \ge 0$. For $f, g \in L^{a,\nu}$, the index Whittaker convolution $f *_a g$ is well-defined and satisfies

$$||f *_{a} g||_{L^{a,\nu}} \le ||f||_{L^{a,\nu}} ||g||_{L^{a,\nu}}$$

(in particular, $f *_a g \in L^{a,\nu}$). Moreover, properties (a) and (b) in Proposition 4.9 are valid when f and g belong to $L^{a,\nu}$ and τ is a complex number such that $|\operatorname{Im} \tau| \leq \nu$.

Proof. For a and ν as in the statement, we have

$$\begin{split} \|f \underset{a}{*} g\|_{L^{a,\nu}} & \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} |f(y)| q_{a}(x,y,\xi) \mathbf{m}_{a}(y) dy \, |g(\xi)| \mathbf{m}_{a}(\xi) d\xi \, x^{a+\nu} \Psi(a+\nu,1+2\nu;x) \mathbf{m}_{a}(x) dx \\ & = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{a+\nu} \Psi(a+\nu,1+2\nu;x) q_{a}(x,y,\xi) \mathbf{m}_{a}(x) dx \, |f(y)| \mathbf{m}_{a}(y) dy \, |g(\xi)| \mathbf{m}_{a}(\xi) d\xi \\ & = \int_{0}^{\infty} |f(y)| \, y^{a+\nu} \Psi(a+\nu,1+2\nu;y) \mathbf{m}_{a}(y) dy \int_{0}^{\infty} |g(\xi)| \, \xi^{a+\nu} \Psi(a+\nu,1+2\nu;\xi) \mathbf{m}_{a}(\xi) d\xi \\ & = \|f\|_{L^{a,\nu}} \|g\|_{L^{a,\nu}} \end{split}$$

where the positivity of the integrand justifies the change of order of integration, and the second inequality follows from the product formula (30). The final statement is proved using the same calculations as before.

Corollary 4.14. The Banach space $L^{a,\nu}$, equipped with the convolution multiplication $f \cdot g \equiv f *_a g$, is a commutative Banach algebra without identity element.

Proof. Proposition 4.13 shows that the Whittaker convolution defines a binary operation on $L^{a,\nu}$ for which the norm is submultiplicative. The commutativity and associativity of the Whittaker convolution in the space $L^{a,\nu}$ follows from the property $(\Psi_a(f_{a}g))(\tau) = (\Psi_af)(\tau)(\Psi_ag)(\tau)$ and the injectivity property of Lemma 4.12.

Suppose now that there exists $e \in L^{a,\nu}$ such that $f *_a e = f$ for all $f \in L^{a,\nu}$. This means that

$$(\Psi_a f)(\tau) (\Psi_a e)(\tau) = (\Psi_a f)(\tau)$$
 for all $f \in L^{a,\nu}$ and $\tau \ge 0$.

Clearly, this implies that $(\Psi_a \mathbf{e})(\tau) = 1$ for all $\tau \geq 0$, which contradicts Lemma 4.12. This shows that there exists no identity element for the Whittaker convolution on the space $L^{a,\nu}$.

In order to prove the Wiener-Lévy theorem for the index Whittaker transform, we need the following lemma on the existence of additional solutions for the functional equation associated with the product formula (30).

Lemma 4.15. Let a > 0 and $\nu \geq 0$. Suppose that the function $\omega(x)$ is such that there exists C > 0 for which

$$|\omega(x)| \le C x^{a+\nu} \Psi(a+\nu, 1+2\nu; x) \qquad \text{for almost every } x > 0$$
 (51)

and that $\omega(x)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$\omega(x)\omega(y) = \int_0^\infty \omega(\xi) \, q_a(x, y, \xi) \, \mathbf{m}_a(\xi) d\xi \qquad (x, y > 0). \tag{52}$$

Then $\omega(x) = x^{a+\rho} \Psi(a+\rho, 1+2\rho; x)$ for some $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\operatorname{Re} \rho| \leq \nu$.

Proof. To start, we claim that

$$\mathcal{L}_{a,x} q_{a}(x,y,\xi) = \mathcal{L}_{a,y} q_{a}(x,y,\xi) =$$

$$= -\int_{0}^{\infty} (xy\xi)^{a+i\tau} \Psi(a+i\tau,1+2i\tau;x) \Psi(a+i\tau,1+2i\tau;y) \Psi(a+i\tau,1+2i\tau;\xi) (\tau^{2}+a^{2}) \rho_{a}(\tau) d\tau$$
(53)

where $\mathcal{L}_{a,x}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{a,y}$ denote the differential operator (42) acting on the variables x and y respectively. Indeed, this identity is obtained via differentiation of (45) under the integral sign; recall that $x^{a+\nu}\Psi(a+\nu,1+2\nu;x)$ satisfies the differential equation (41). The validity of differentiation under the integral sign is justified by the absolute and locally uniform convergence of the differentiated integrals, which is verified in a straightforward way using the differentiation formula (11) and the asymptotic expansion (8).

Now, assuming that the right-hand side of the functional equation (52) can also be differentiated under the integral sign, it follows from (53) that

$$\left(\mathcal{L}_{a,x}\,\omega(x)\right)\omega(y) = \left(\mathcal{L}_{a,y}\,\omega(y)\right)\omega(x) \qquad (x,y>0). \tag{54}$$

Here the possibility of interchanging derivative and integral follows again from the locally uniform convergence of the differentiated integrals, which can be straightforwardly checked using (51), the identity

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}q_a(x,y,\xi) = \left(1 + \frac{a}{x}\right)q_a(x,y,\xi) + \left(\frac{y\xi}{x} - y - \xi\right)q_{a-\frac{1}{2}}(x,y,\xi)$$

(which is a consequence of (15)) and the upper bound (32) for the function $q_a(x, y, \xi)$.

Notice that (54) holds for arbitrary values of x and y. Therefore, we must have

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}_{a,x}\,\omega(x)}{\omega(x)} = \frac{\mathcal{L}_{a,y}\,\omega(y)}{\omega(y)} = \lambda$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, meaning that $\omega(x)$ is a solution of the confluent hypergeometric-type equation

$$\mathcal{L}_a\omega(x) = (\rho^2 - a^2)\omega(x)$$

where ρ is a complex number such that $\rho^2 = \lambda + a^2$. This implies that $\omega(x)$ is a linear combination of $x^{a+\rho}\Psi(a+\rho,1+2\rho;x)$ and $x^{a+\rho}{}_1F_1(a+\rho,1+2\rho;x)$. But ${}_1F_1(a+\rho,1+2\rho;x)$ is, for all $\rho\in\mathbb{C}$, unbounded as x goes to infinity [17, Equation 13.2.23], violating (51). In addition, the limiting forms for $\Psi(a+\rho,1+2\rho;x)$ (which follow from (2), (4)) show that $|x^{a+\rho}\Psi(a+\rho,1+2\rho;x)| \leq C\,x^{a+\nu}\Psi(a+\nu,1+2\nu;x)$ holds if and only if $|\operatorname{Re}\rho| \leq \nu$. Therefore, we must have $\omega(x) = x^{a+\rho}\Psi(a+\rho,1+2\rho;x)$ for ρ belonging to the strip $|\operatorname{Re}\rho| \leq \nu$.

As a consequence of Corollary 4.14, Lemma 4.15 and the elementary theory of Banach algebras, we find that an analogue of the Wiener-Lévy theorem is valid for the index Whittaker transform:

Theorem 4.16. Let $f \in L^{a,\nu}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\nu > 0$. Suppose that $\lambda + (\Psi_a f)(\tau) \neq 0$ for all τ in the closed strip $|\operatorname{Im} \tau| \leq \nu$, including infinity. Then there exists a unique function $\eta \in L^{a,\nu}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda + (\Psi_a f)(\tau)} = \lambda + (\Psi_a \eta)(\tau) \qquad (|\operatorname{Im} \tau| \le \nu). \tag{55}$$

Proof. The proof is entirely analogous to the proof of Theorem 15.15 of [27], appealing to Corollary 4.14 and Lemma 4.15 in place of the analogous results for the Kontorovich-Lebedev convolution. \Box

Remark 4.17. The converse of this theorem is also true, i.e. if for some τ_0 with $|\operatorname{Im} \tau_0| \leq \nu$ we have $\lambda + (\Psi_a f)(\tau_0) = 0$, then no function $\eta \in L^{a,\nu}$ can satisfy (55). Indeed, from (49) and the condition $\eta \in L^{a,\nu}$ it follows that the integral defining $(\Psi_a \eta)(\tau)$ converges absolutely whenever $|\operatorname{Im} \tau| \leq \nu$, so if $\lambda + (\Psi_a f)(\tau_0) = 0$ then (55) will fail at $\tau = \tau_0$, regardless of the choice of $\eta \in L^{a,\nu}$.

5. Application to convolution integral equations

In this final section we demonstrate that the index Whittaker convolution, and especially the analogue of the Wiener-Lévy theorem proved above, can be used to study the existence of solution for integral equations of the second kind which can be represented as index Whittaker convolution equations, in the sense defined as follows:

Definition 5.1. The integral equation of the second kind

$$f(x) + \int_0^\infty J(x, y) f(y) \, dy = h(x),$$
 (56)

where h is a known function and f is to be determined, is said to be a index Whittaker convolution equation if there exists a > 0 and $\theta \in L^{a,0}$ such that $J(x,y) = (\mathcal{T}_a^x \theta)(y) \operatorname{m}_a(y)$. In other words, (56) is a index Whittaker convolution equation if it can be written in the form

$$f(x) + (f * \theta)(x) = h(x) \tag{57}$$

for some a > 0 and $\theta \in L^{a,0}$.

Suppose that $h, \theta \in L^{a,\nu}$ (being a > 0 and $\nu \ge 0$), and consider the *-convolution integral equation (57). Applying the index Whittaker transform to both sides of the convolution equation, we get

$$(\Psi_a f)(\tau) \left[1 + (\Psi_a \theta)(\tau) \right] = (\Psi_a h)(\tau) \qquad (|\operatorname{Im} \tau| \le \nu). \tag{58}$$

Now, Theorem 4.16 and the subsequent remark show that the condition

$$1 + (\Psi_a \theta)(\tau) \neq 0$$
 throughout the strip $|\operatorname{Im} \tau| \leq \nu$

is a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a unique $\eta \in L^{a,\nu}$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{1 + (\Psi_a \theta)(\tau)} = 1 + (\Psi_a \eta)(\tau) \qquad (|\operatorname{Im} \tau| \le \nu), \tag{59}$$

and if this holds then from (58) we obtain $(\Psi_a f)(\tau) = (\Psi_a h)(\tau)[1 + (\Psi_a \eta)(\tau)]$ ($|\text{Im } \tau| \leq \nu$) or, equivalently,

$$f(x) = h(x) + (h *_{a} \eta)(x) = h(x) + \int_{0}^{\infty} J_{\eta}(x, y) h(y) \,\mathrm{m}_{a}(y) dy \tag{60}$$

where $J_{\eta}(x,y) = (\mathcal{T}_a^x \eta)(y) \, \mathrm{m}_a(y)$. In summary, we have proved the following:

Theorem 5.2. Let $J(x,y) = (\mathcal{T}_a^x \theta)(y) \, \mathrm{m}_a(y)$ where $\theta \in L^{a,\nu}$ $(a > 0, \nu \ge 0)$, and suppose that $1 + (\Psi_a \theta)(\tau) \ne 0$ for all τ in the strip $|\mathrm{Im}\,\tau| \le \nu$, including infinity. Then the integral equation (57) has, for any $h \in L^{a,\nu}$ a unique solution $f \in L^{a,\nu}$ which can be represented in the form (60) for some $\eta \in L^{a,\nu}$. Conversely, if $1 + (\Psi_a \theta)(\tau_0) = 0$ for some τ_0 with $|\mathrm{Im}\,\tau_0| \le \nu$, then the equation (57) is not solvable in the space $L^{a,\nu}$.

The index Whittaker translation of the power function $\theta(x) = x^{\beta}$, whose closed form was computed in Lemma 4.2, yields a large family of *-convolution integral equations to which this theorem can be applied:

Corollary 5.3. Let $h \in L^{a,\nu}$ $(a > 0, \nu \ge 0)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \beta > a + \nu$. The integral equation

$$f(x) + \lambda \int_0^\infty (xy)^\beta \Psi(\beta, 1 - 2a + 2\beta; x + y) f(y) \,\mathrm{m}_a(y) dy = h(x), \tag{61}$$

has a unique solution $f \in L^{a,\nu}$ if and only if the condition

$$\Gamma(\beta) + \lambda 2^{\beta - 2a} \Gamma(\beta - a + i\tau) \Gamma(\beta - a - i\tau) \neq 0$$

holds for all $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ in the strip $|\operatorname{Im} \tau| \leq \nu$, including infinity.

Proof. Let $\theta(x) = \lambda x^{\beta}$. It is clear from (48) that $\theta \in L^{a,\nu}$. We have seen in Lemma 4.2 that

$$(\mathcal{T}_a^x \theta)(y) = \lambda (xy)^\beta \Psi(\beta, 1 - 2a + 2\beta; x + y).$$

The index Whittaker transform $\Psi_a\theta$ is computed using relation 2.19.3.7 in [21]:

$$(\Psi_a \theta)(\tau) = \lambda \int_0^\infty x^{\beta + a + i\tau} \Psi(a + i\tau, 1 + 2i\tau; x) \, \mathbf{m}_a(x) dx = \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\beta)} \, \Gamma(\beta - a + i\tau) \Gamma(\beta - a - i\tau), \qquad |\operatorname{Im} \tau| \le \nu.$$

The corollary is therefore obtained by setting $\theta(x) = \lambda x^{\beta}$ in Theorem 5.2.

It should be emphasized that Theorem 5.2 is not just an existence and uniqueness theorem for the solution of $*_a$ -convolution integral equations: as the following corollary illustrates, if we are able to explicitly determine the function $\eta \in L^{a,\nu}$ which satisfies (59), then Theorem 5.2 gives us the closed-form expression for the solution.

Corollary 5.4. If $h \in L^{n+\frac{1}{2},\nu}$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $0 \le \nu < \frac{1}{2}$, then the integral equation

$$f(x) + \frac{n!}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{n+1} \Psi(n+1,2;x+y) f(y) e^{-y} dy = h(x), \tag{62}$$

has a unique solution $f \in L^{n+\frac{1}{2},\nu}$, which is given by

$$f(x) = h(x) + \left(h_{n + \frac{1}{2}} \eta_n\right)(x) = h(x) + \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty q_{n + \frac{1}{2}}(x, y, \xi) h(y) \eta_n(\xi) \, \mathbf{m}_{n + \frac{1}{2}}(y) dy \, \mathbf{m}_{n + \frac{1}{2}}(\xi) d\xi$$

where

$$\eta_n(x) := \pi^{-\frac{3}{2}} n! \Gamma(\frac{3}{2} + n) x^{\frac{3}{2} + n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{(\frac{1}{2} + k) k! (n-k)!} \Psi(\frac{1}{2}, 1 - k; x).$$
 (63)

Proof. The integral equation (62) is the particular case of (61) which is obtained by setting $a=n+\frac{1}{2}$, $\beta=n+1$ and $\lambda=\frac{n!}{\pi}$. In this case, $(\Psi_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\theta)(\tau)=\frac{1}{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+i\tau)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-i\tau)=\frac{1}{\cosh(\pi\tau)}$. Clearly, if $|\operatorname{Im}\tau|<\frac{1}{2}$ then $\operatorname{Re}[\cosh(\pi\tau)]>0$, hence the solvability condition $1+(\Psi_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\theta)(\tau)\neq 0$ holds in the strip $|\operatorname{Im}\tau|\leq \nu<\frac{1}{2}$ and, according to Theorem 5.2, the unique solution of (62) is the function $f(x)=h(x)+(h_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\eta)(x)$, where η is the function satisfying

$$(\varPsi_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\eta)(\tau) = \frac{1}{1+(\varPsi_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\theta)(\tau)} - 1 = -\frac{1}{2\cosh^2(\frac{\pi\tau}{2})}.$$

It remains to show that the function (63) satisfies this requirement. Using integral 2.16.48.14 of [20] and recalling the identity (6), we find that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2\cosh^2(\frac{\pi\tau}{2})} x^{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau} \Psi(\frac{1}{2}+i\tau, 1+2i\tau; x) \,\rho_{1/2}(\tau) d\tau = \pi^{-1} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi(\frac{1}{2}, 1; x). \tag{64}$$

Now, by (11) and the recurrence relation for the Gamma function we have

$$\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\tau\right)\right|^2 \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left[x^{-\frac{1}{2}+n+i\tau} \Psi\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\tau,1+2i\tau;x\right)\right]$$

$$= \left| \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau) \right|^2 \left| \left(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau \right)_n \right|^2 x^{-\frac{1}{2} + i\tau} \Psi(\frac{1}{2} + n + i\tau, 1 + 2i\tau; x)$$
$$= \left| \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + n + i\tau) \right|^2 x^{-\frac{1}{2} + i\tau} \Psi(\frac{1}{2} + n + i\tau, 1 + 2i\tau; x).$$

Therefore, multiplying both sides of (64) by x^n and then applying $\frac{d^n}{dx^n}$, we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 \cosh^{2}(\frac{\pi \tau}{2})} x^{-\frac{1}{2} + i\tau} \Psi(\frac{1}{2} + n + i\tau, 1 + 2i\tau; x) \rho_{n+1/2}(\tau) d\tau = \pi^{-1} \frac{d^{n}}{dx^{n}} \left[x^{\frac{1}{2} + n} \Psi(\frac{1}{2}, 1; x) \right] =$$

$$= \pi^{-\frac{3}{2}} n! \Gamma(\frac{3}{2} + n) x^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{(\frac{1}{2} + k) k! (n - k)!} \Psi(\frac{1}{2}, 1 - k; x)$$

where the last equality is obtained via Leibniz's rule, using the identities $\frac{d^{n-k}}{dx^{n-k}}x^{1/2+n} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}+n)}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}+k)}x^{\frac{1}{2}+k}$ and (10) (the possibility of differentiating under the integral sign being justified as in the proof of Lemma 4.15). If we now multiply both sides by x^{1+n} and recall the notation (43), we obtain $\left[\Psi_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^{-1}\left(2\cosh^2(\frac{\pi\tau}{2})\right)\right](x) = -\eta_n(x)$. Consequently, $(\Psi_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\eta_n)(\tau) = -2\cosh^2(\frac{\pi\tau}{2})$, as was to be proved.

Acknowledgments

The first and third authors were partly supported by CMUP (UID/MAT/00144/2013), which is funded by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) (Portugal) with national (MEC), European structural funds through the programmes FEDER under the partnership agreement PT2020, and Project STRIDE – NORTE-01-0145-FEDER-000033, funded by ERDF – NORTE 2020. The first author was also supported by the grant PD/BD/135281/2017, under the FCT PhD Programme UC—UP MATH PhD Program. The second author was partly supported by FCT/MEC through the project CEMAPRE – UID/MULTI/00491/2013.

References

- Y. M. Berezansky, A. A. Kalyuzhnyi, Harmonic Analysis in Hypercomplex Systems, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1998. doi:10.1007/978-94-017-1758-8.
- [2] J. M. L. Bernard, M. A. Lyalinov, N. Y. Zhu, Analytical-numerical calculation of diffraction coefficients for a circular impedance cone, IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. 56 (6) (2008) 1616–1623. doi:10.1109/TAP.2008.923349.
- [3] W. C. Connett, C. Markett, A. L. Schwartz, Convolution and hypergroup structures associated with a class of Sturm-Liouville systems, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 332 (1) (1992) 365–390. doi:10.2307/2154037.
- [4] J. Delsarte, Sur une extension de la formule de Taylor, J. Math. Pures Appl. 17 (9) (1938) 213–231.
- [5] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, F. G. Tricomi, Higher Transcendental Functions Vol. I, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.
- [6] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, F. G. Tricomi, Higher Transcendental Functions Vol. II, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.
- [7] M. Flensted-Jensen, T. Koornwinder, The convolution structure for Jacobi function expansions, Ark. Mat. 11 (1-2) (1973) 245-262. doi:10.1007/BF02388521.
- [8] G. Gasaneo, S. Ovchinnikov, J. H. Macek, A Kontorovich-Lebedev representation for zero-range potential eigensolutions,
 J. Phys. A Math. Gen. 34 (2001) 8941–8954. doi:10.1088/0305-4470/34/42/315.
- [9] G. Gasper, Positivity and special functions, in: R. A. Askey (Ed.), Theory and Application of Special Functions, Academic Press, New York, 1975, pp. 375–433. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-064850-4.50014-8.
- [10] E. Görlich, C. Markett, O. Stüpp, Integral formulas associated with products of Bessel functions: a new partial differential equation approach, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 51 (1994) 135–157. doi:10.1016/0377-0427(92)00011-W.
- [11] P. V. Hoang, T. Tuan, N. X. Thao, V. K. Tuan, Boundedness in weighted L_p spaces for the Kontorovich–Lebedev–Fourier generalized convolutions and applications, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 28 (8) (2017) 590–604. doi:10.1080/10652469.2017.1330825.
- [12] N. T. Hong, P. V. Hoang, V. K. Tuan, The convolution for the Kontorovich-Lebedev transform revisited, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 440 (1) (2016) 369–378. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2016.03.052.
- [13] V. A. Kakichev, On the convolution for integral transforms, Izv Vyssh Uchebn Zaved Mat. 2 (1967) 53-62 (in Russian).

- [14] B. M. Levitan, Generalized Translation Operators and Some of Their Applications, Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem, 1964.
- [15] V. Linetsky, Spectral expansions for Asian (average price) options, Oper. Res. 52 (6) (2004) 856–867. doi:10.1287/oper.1040.0113.
- [16] L. Mattner, Complex differentiation under the integral, Nieuw Arch. Wiskd. (5) 2 (1) (2001) 32–35.
- [17] F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, C. W. Clark (Eds.), NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [18] A. Prasad, U. K. Mandal, Composition of pseudo-differential operators associated with Kontorovich-Lebedev transform, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 27 (11) (2016) 878–892. doi:10.1080/10652469.2016.1227330.
- [19] A. P. Prudnikov, Y. A. Brychkov, O. I. Marichev, Integrals and Series Vol. I: Elementary Functions, Gordon and Breach, New York and London, 1986.
- [20] A. P. Prudnikov, Y. A. Brychkov, O. I. Marichev, Integrals and Series Vol. II: Special Functions, Gordon and Breach, New York and London, 1986.
- [21] A. P. Prudnikov, Y. A. Brychkov, O. I. Marichev, *Integrals and Series Vol. III: More Special Functions*, Gordon and Breach, New York and London, 1989.
- [22] R. Sousa, S. Yakubovich, The spectral expansion approach to index transforms and connections with the theory of diffusion processes, arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.05194 (2017).
- [23] H. M. Srivastava, Y. V. Vasil'ev, S. Yakubovich, A class of index transforms with Whittaker's function as the kernel, Quart. J. Math. Oxford 49 (2) (1998) 375–394. doi:10.1093/qmathj/49.3.375.
- [24] K. Trimèche, Generalized Wavelets and Hypergroups, Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, 1997.
- [25] J. Weidmann, Spectral Theory of Ordinary Differential Operators, Springer, Berlin, 1987. doi:10.1007/BFb0077960.
- [26] J. Wimp, A class of integral transforms, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 14 (2) (1964) 33-40. doi:10.1017/S0013091500011202.
- [27] S. Yakubovich, Y. F. Luchko, *The Hypergeometric Approach to Integral Transforms and Convolutions*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1994. doi:10.1007/978-94-011-1196-6.
- [28] S. Yakubovich, Index Transforms, World Scientific, Singapore, 1996. doi:10.1142/9789812831064_fmatter.
- [29] S. Yakubovich, On the least values of L_p-norms for the Kontorovich–Lebedev transform and its convolution, J. Approx. Theory 131 (2) (2004) 231–242. doi:10.1016/j.jat.2004.10.007.